

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO
THE PLASTIC MATERIALS AND ARTICLES IN CONTACT WITH FOOD (LID
GASKETS) (ENGLAND) REGULATIONS 2007

2007 No. 2786

1. This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by the Food Standards Agency and is laid before Parliament by Command of Her Majesty

2. Description

2.1 This instrument provides for the enforcement in England of Commission Regulation (EC) No. 372/2007 (“the Commission Regulations”), which lays down transitional migration limits for plasticisers in gaskets in lids intended to come into contact with food.

2.2 This instrument will put in place offences that may be prosecuted before the Courts where alleged breaches of the Commission Regulation arise; defences against alleged breaches under particular circumstances and penalties that the Courts may apply upon conviction for an offence.

2.3 This instrument which will apply to England is to be a short-lived measure to last until May 2008, when the Commission Regulation expires.

3 Matters of special interest to the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments

3.1 None.

4 Legislative Background

4.1 Gaskets in lids intended to come into contact with food fall under the scope of Commission Directive 2002/72/EC relating to plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with foodstuffs which, as last amended by Directive 2005/79/EC, is given effect in English law under other Regulations¹. However, the Directive applies to materials and articles, and parts thereof, which consist exclusively of plastics or are composed of two or more layers consisting exclusively of plastics. Gaskets in metal lids could alternatively be regarded as a plastic part of a material or article and thus covered by Directive 2002/72/EC or as a plastic coating on a metal substrate, and hence, not covered by that Directive. Consequently, there are separate rules across Member States that may pose a barrier to trade. As such, it has been necessary to clarify the position regarding gaskets in lids and fix transitional specific migration limits (SMLs). These SMLs will apply to the sum of a number of plasticisers used in gaskets in lids coming into contact with fatty foods and permit the free circulation within the Community of those products affected. At the same time, those lids that pose a significant risk are immediately excluded from the market. The Commission Regulation also provides industry with sufficient time to finalise the development of gaskets that are compliant with the SMLs laid down in Directive 2002/72/EC, as amended by Directive 2007/19/EC².

¹ The Plastic Materials and Articles in Contact with Food (England) (No.2) Regulations 2006 (SI 2006/2687).

² Commission Directive 2007/19/EC amending Commission Directive 2002/72/EC and Directive 85/572/EC relating to plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with food.

4.2 The European Regulations make provisions that will in force until that amendment takes effect in our national law from 1 July 2008.

5 Extent

5.1 This instrument applies in relation to England only. Separate but parallel legislation is being enacted for Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

6 European Convention on Human Rights

6.1 As the instrument is subject to negative resolution procedure and does not amend primary legislation, no statement is required.

7 Policy Background

7.1 It is the intention that the law on materials and articles intended to be brought into contact with food should protect human health from acute adverse effects and from any chronic health effect over a person's lifetime arising from the consumption of food that could be contaminated with chemicals used in the manufacture of the materials and articles. The intention is particularly to protect consumers from substances that might be carcinogenic, mutagenic or toxic to reproduction. It also aims to protect the nature and quality of the food concerned and to provide the industry with one set of harmonised rules that apply throughout the EU, instead of a plethora of different national rules in each of the twenty seven EU Member States.

8 Impact

8.1. The Food Standards Agency fully consulted all stakeholders on the proposed Regulations. The primary business sector that will be affected by the regulatory proposals will be manufacturers of food contact plastics, including those companies that specifically manufacture gaskets for use in lids using the substances with restrictions laid down in the Commission Regulation. The consultation confirmed that the measures proposed have no specific extra requirements that lay any new financial burdens. The Packaging and Films Association (PAFA) indicated that as none of its member companies were either involved in the manufacture or import of gaskets or of lids containing gaskets intended for food applications, the requirements of the Commission Regulation did not directly apply to their members. However, they fully supported the development of proportionate and workable legislation, and appropriate enforcement policies, that are consistent with the principles of good regulatory practice.

8.2. Rural areas, members of ethnic communities of any particular racial group and disabled people are unaffected by these proposals. Charities and voluntary organisations are also unaffected by these proposals. This view is echoed by industry.

8.2 A Regulatory Impact Assessment is attached to this memorandum.

9. Contact

Richard Sinclair or Nasreen Shah at the Food Standards Agency (Telephone: 020 7276 8538 or 020 7276 8553) (e-mail: richard.sinclair@foodstandards.gsi.gov.uk or nasreen.shah@foodstandards.gsi.gov.uk).

FULL REGULATORY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

1. Title of Proposal

- 1.1 These Regulations are to be known as The Plastic Materials and Articles in Contact with Food (Lid Gaskets) (England) Regulations 2007.

2. Purpose and Intend Effect

- **Objective**

- 2.2 The purpose of these proposals is to meet the Government's commitment to honour its EU obligations within the European Union and reduce the risk to consumers of health effects arising from the consumption of harmful levels of chemicals in food.
- 2.3 Our proposal will make provisions for the enforcement of Commission Regulation (EC) No. 372/2007 which lays down transitional migration limits for plasticisers in gaskets in lids intended to come into contact with food ("the Commission Regulations"). It will put in place offences that may be prosecuted before the Courts where alleged breaches of the Commission Regulation arise, defences against those alleged breaches under particular circumstances and penalties to apply on conviction of an offence under them.
- 2.4 The proposed Regulations, which will apply to England, are to be a short-lived measure to last until June 2008, when the European Regulation expires. They will provide for the enforcement of that European Regulation in England, by the enforcement authorities.
- 2.5 This Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) is concerned only with the enforcement of the Commission Regulation insofar as it applies to England.
- 2.6 The policy being enacted through these proposals in relation to the EU harmonised legislation applies across the United Kingdom. In consequence, similar, parallel legislation will be made in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

- **Background**

- 2.7 Gaskets and lids intended to come into direct contact with food will come within scope of Commission Directive 2002/72/EC as amended from 1 May 2008 (that Directive as currently amended is given effect in English law under other Regulations³). However, the Directive applies to materials and articles, and parts thereof, which consist exclusively of plastics or are composed of two or more layers consisting exclusively of plastics. Manufacturing technology has developed since provisions were originally made for gaskets under that Directive. This has resulted in an ambiguity in how gaskets in metal lids could be dealt with under the rules. In some cases they may be regarded as a plastic part of a material and thus covered by Directive 2002/72/EC, but in others as a plastic coating on a metal substrate that would not be covered by that Directive. The Directive is therefore being amended to clear up this ambiguity and this transitional European legislation make provisions that will be in force until 30th June 2008.

³ The Plastic Materials and Articles in Contact with Food (England) (No.2) Regulations 2006 (SI 2006 No 2687)

2.8 Consequently, to prevent the ambiguity becoming a barrier to trade and to ensure the free flow of goods on the market it has been necessary to clarify the position regarding gaskets in lids and fix transitional specific migration limits (SML) for some substances used in their manufacture. These SML's will apply to the sum of a number of plasticisers used in gaskets in lids coming into contact with fatty foods. The Commission Regulation authorises the free circulation of products that comply with these rules, and immediately excludes from the market those lids that pose a significant risk is used in contact with fatty foods. The Commission Regulation also provides industry sufficient time to finalise the development of gaskets that are compliant with the restrictions laid down in Directive 2002/72/EC, as amended by Directive 2007/19/EC⁴.

2.9 The plasticisers with transitional SML's are:

- Epoxidised soybean oil (ESBO);
- Acetylated mono- and diglycerides of fatty acids;
- Polyester of adipic acid with glycerol or pentaerythritol, esters and even numbered, unbranched C12- C22 fatty acids;
- Tri-n-butyl citrate;
- Glycerol monolaurate diacetate and 12-(Acetoxy) stearic acid, 2,3-bis(acetoxy)-propyl ester; and,
- Polyesters of 1,2-propanediol and/or 1,3- and/or 1,4-butanediol and/or polypropylene-glycol with adipic acid, also end-capped with acetic acid or fatty acids C₁₂-C₁₈ or n-octanol and/or n-decanol

2.10 Regulatory proposals to give effect in English law to Directive 2007/19/EC will be the subject of a separate consultation in October 2007 so that it can come into force when this temporary proposal expires.

• **Rationale for Government Intervention**

2.11 These proposals fulfil the Government's policies of meeting its EU obligations. These are to keep food safe by reducing the chronic long term health risks to consumers arising from chemical contamination of foodstuffs they eat, to reduce the potential for avoidable chemical migration resulting in food incidents and to meet the Lisbon Agenda to improve competitiveness of business in Europe by providing harmonised rules within which businesses can compete.

2.12 To do nothing would leave enforcement authorities without adequate statutory powers to prevent the placing on the market of those products that fail to comply with the restrictions in the Commission Regulation, and that do not conform to the requirements deemed necessary to assure protection of the health of consumers.

2.13 The Food Standards Agency believes that the adoption of these proposals provides essential powers to enforce the modernised regulatory framework that removes trade barriers and allows technological innovation. Consumer protection will be enhanced in an area of food control where inadequate controls could have serious long-term implications or are seriously suspected of carrying an unacceptable risk to consumer

⁴ Directive 2007/19/EC, amending Commission Directive 2002/72/EC and 85/572/EC, relating to plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with food. Published in the Official Journal of the European Communities ((OJ) reference L97, 12.4.2007, pp50-69)

health, particularly among more vulnerable people. The introduction of harmonised statutory controls would reduce the potential for uncertainty or dispute in interpreting the requirements of the Commission Regulation.

3. Consultation

- **Within Government**

3.1 Other government departments including the Department of Health, the Department for Business and Regulatory Reform (BERR – previously the Department of Trade and Industry), the Foreign and Commonwealth Office and the Cabinet Office were kept informed of progress in negotiations relating to the European Regulation through regular progress reports. To date, no adverse comments have been received from any departments.

- **Public Consultation**

3.2 During the course of negotiations with the Commission, the Food Standards Agency has frequently conveyed information to interested organisations including industry, research institutes, consumer groups, enforcement authorities and other interested parties with an interest on policy issues related to food contact materials. An informal consultation was carried out in October 2005 and the proposal was also discussed during regular meetings with stakeholder groups that are likely to be directly affected by the requirements of the Commission Regulation.

3.3 Because of the short nature of the Commission Regulation, the formal consultation in July was limited to six weeks as opposed to the normal 12 weeks. Formal consultation on these regulatory proposals for England involved organisations with an interest in food contact plastics; companies that manufacture gaskets for lids; food packaging manufacturers and processors, enforcement authorities, consumer organisations and port health authorities were also consulted. Other organisations who may wish to comment on food contact plastics legislation contained within these proposals were also consulted.

- **Result of Consultation**

3.4 One hundred and thirty two stakeholders were consulted on these proposals. These ranged from food industry organisations to sector specific organisations such as those manufacturers of materials and articles intended to come into contact with food and others with an interest in food contact materials legislation. We also consulted enforcement authorities, the Enterprise Directorate (formerly the Small Business Service (SBS)), Forum of Private Businesses (FPB), consumer organisations and other non-government organisations. No comments were received from either organisation of any financial costs to small businesses from this proposal.

3.5 Only three responses were received and these were from; Packaging and Films Association (PAFA), which is one of the trade associations representing major UK manufacturers of plastic and cellulose films, as well as companies that print and convert speciality packaging materials; one from the Laboratory of the Government Chemist (LGC); and one from a private individual. All three respondents were in full support of the Agency's proposal to provide for the enforcement of the Commission

Regulation and the means by which this was being done. Consultation comments on drafting detail have been acted upon where necessary.

4. Options

- **Option 1 Do Nothing**

4.1 Doing nothing will not prevent the Commission Regulation from applying in England; it is already legally binding and applicable throughout the EU. However, enforcement authorities would not have the necessary powers to enable them to enforce it. Therefore, the obligation to put in place provisions for its enforcement, for offences to be prosecuted and for penalties for those found to be in breach of the Regulation will not have been fulfilled. This would lead to the Government being cited in infraction proceedings by the Commission.

- **Option 2 – Fully implement the necessary requirements that will support the European Regulation and provide for its enforcement.**

4.2 This option meets the Government's commitment to fulfil its EU obligations and contributes significantly to providing for the up-to-date means of protecting consumers from ingesting harmful levels of chemicals that could have migrated from the materials or articles that were intended to be brought into contact with the food. As the Commission Regulation is already in force, we are required to provide for its enforcement in England. This ensures that the enforcement authorities can fulfil the requirements placed upon them and the Courts can impose the penalties that are in line with penalties that apply elsewhere in our food law. It also provides for defences in law for those against whom offences may be alleged in Court. This option is also supported by the LGC, which provides scope for a favourable balance between benefits and necessary costs.

5. Costs and Benefits

- **Sectors and groups affected**

5.1 Typically, businesses affected by these proposals are those that manufacture and/or import food packaging, including, those companies that specifically manufacture gaskets for use in lids using the substances with restrictions laid down in the Commission Regulation.

5.2 Local authorities and port health authorities are responsible for enforcing the legislation with respect to food safety and will therefore be affected.

5.3 Government departments, such as the Food Standards Agency will be affected as and when they carry out any surveys on foods. These are carried out to inform consumers, monitor trends and assess dietary exposure, and to ensure that the legislation is effective in protecting consumers from exposure to harmful substances in food packaging.

5.4 The food and drink packaging industry is highly fragmented and diverse and is served by a large number of suppliers. In 2003⁵, a study of the UK's packaging industry

⁵ Mintel, April 2003

identified 13,000 packaging companies in the UK; half of them had a turnover less than £10 million, and 85% could be classified as small to medium size enterprises.

- 5.5 Food packaging end uses represent a significant proportion of sales and employ 8,000 people, with annual sales of PAFA (Packaging and Films Association) members exceeding £2 billion. A key objective of PAFA is to support measures which assure high standards and quality of packaging materials, including safety for their intended use. The association seeks to play an active and constructive role in the formulation of sensible policy and legislation, at both EU and UK level. PAFA supports the development of proportionate and workable legislation, and appropriate enforcement policies, that are consistent with principles of good regulatory practice. The potential commercial impact of the proposals applies equally to all businesses involved small or large - a view supported by PAFA.

Benefits

- **Option 1**

- 5.6 There are no identifiable incremental benefits from following this option.

- **Option 2**

- 5.7 This option would provide enforcement authorities with the necessary powers to enforce the Commission Regulation. Also, local authorities and port health authorities will benefit from the greater clarity provided by that Regulation and from the power of enforcement devolved to them by these Regulations.

- 5.8 This option will also ensure that the potential for consumers being exposed to harmful levels of substances migrating from food contact materials and articles, to the food itself, are minimised. Whilst the potential health benefits are difficult to quantify they are likely to include reducing the risk of illness through exposure to substances that might migrate and might be associated with various adverse effects on human health. This option may therefore reduce such burden on the health service through prevention of chronic illness. A 1999 report (conducted by the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA)), presenting economic evaluation of UK policy on chemical contaminants in food estimated that the annual consumer benefit resulting from chemical contaminant controls was worth £900 million. The report is available at the following website

<http://statistics.defra.gov.uk/esg/evaluation/chemcont/default.asp>

- 5.9 Businesses involved in the manufacture of food contact materials and articles will gain from the Regulations by ensuring a non-discriminatory competitive environment both domestically and throughout the EU, which in turn may facilitate further trade. Although PAFA were unable to provide comments directly related to the proposal (as none of their members, to their knowledge are involved in the manufacture of gaskets or gaskets in lids), they did however, support the development of proportionate and workable legislation, and appropriate enforcement policies, that are consistent with principles of good regulatory practice.

Costs

- **Option 1**

5.10 European Community Regulations are binding in their entirety and directly applicable in all EU Member States from the date that they take effect. The UK therefore, has a legal obligation to ensure that the provisions are in place to provide for the enforcement of the Commission Regulation in full. Failure to do so will result in infraction proceedings against the UK Government, which may result in financial penalties. It would also leave the UK enforcement authorities without any domestic legislation for the enforcement and execution of the Commission Regulation.

- **Option 2**

5.11 It is difficult to estimate the costs to the enforcement authorities without details of the precise regime that will operate; for example what proportion of an authority's budget may be allocated for checking compliance with the new limits. The Food Standards Agency believes that the incremental financial costs to enforcement authorities are unlikely to have a significant cost impact and is likely to be minimal, if any. Local authority enforcement bodies have always had responsibility for the enforcement of food contact materials legislation. The proposed Regulations merely provide the means by which this role can be extended to cover the Commission Regulation. There were no comments from enforcement authorities on any likely financial costs associated with the enforcement provisions of the Commission Regulation.

5.12 The Food Standards Agency believes that these proposals place no new burdens on businesses. Industry are aware that restrictions for certain substances used in gaskets in lids might be set, as such it is the responsibility of businesses to ensure that they satisfy the requirements of the European Regulation. The Agency has developed guidance for businesses on these new Regulations (see Para. 9.2). Such guidance will help minimise cost to businesses of the new Regulations. There may be some incidental costs to business or reading the new legislation, however this is likely to be minimal. This view was supported by the LGC, who indicated that the administrative burden on businesses, and most or all SMEs, is minimised by legislation that presents their rights transparently. Indeed, the migration limits established by the European Regulation provide business with clear controls and the flexibility to move away from the use of substances that could be harmful to human health in the long term. At the same time it allows industry sufficient time to finalise the development of gaskets that are compliant with the SML laid down in Directive 2002/72/EC, as amended by Directive 2007/19/EC.

- **Economic, Social and Environmental**

5.13 The social and environmental cost arising from these proposals are negligible.

5.14 Rural areas, disabled people and members of the ethnic communities are not affected by these proposals any differently to others. Charities and voluntary organisations are unlikely to be affected by these proposals.

5.15 The Food Standards Agency's remit is to protect the interests of consumers in relation to food, both now and in the future. In doing so the Agency will take sustainable development into account in all of its activities and policy decisions. The proposals are unlikely to have any specific impact on sustainability.

- **Policy and administration costs**

5.16 The Food Standards Agency believes that the policy and administration costs are likely to be minimal. There will be a small administration cost to business of reading the new legislation, and as this is a time limited measure, which does not represent any new compulsory action, there will be no administrative burden placed on business.

6. Small Firms Impact Test

6.1 The companies involved in this area are represented through their national trade bodies to those at European level. The Enterprise Directorate (formerly the Small Business Service (SBS)) and the Forum of Private Businesses (FPB) were consulted about the financial implications for small businesses arising from these proposals. However, no further comments were received from either the Enterprise Directorate or the FPB on any financial costs associated with this proposal.

7 Competition Assessment

7.1 The Competition Filter Test has been completed and it has confirmed that none of the options raise competition concerns. The provisions for enforcement powers to the proper authorities in England do not place any hindrance on the competitiveness of business, nor does the alignment of penalties for offences with those that apply elsewhere in food law. As these proposals relate to offences where breaches arise, defences that might apply in the event of prosecution for alleged offences and penalties that apply on conviction for the offence, they are unlikely to raise any competition concerns. This view is supported by the Office of Fair Trading.

7.2 Economically, a lot depends upon the businesses' profit margins as to whether there will be any effect on competition. Some firms may be able to compete in the industry because their costs are equal to, or only just below, their revenues. If their costs increase even a little, and they are unable to pass these costs on to the consumer, then their business will suffer.

7.3 Industry and businesses have been closely involved at European level in the development of these proposals and have not raised any issues that indicate a disadvantage to any particular business sector. The consultation carried out in October 2005 did not indicate any disadvantage to any particular business, or company. The proposals apply equally to all existing and new manufacturers of gaskets in lids intended to be brought into contact with food.

8 Enforcement, Sanctions and Monitoring

- **Enforcement**

8.1 Local authorities and port health authorities are responsible for enforcing a large proportion of Regulations with respect of food safety and are already doing so in respect of all the legislation on materials and articles intended to come into contact with food. The proposed Regulations on which we are consulting merely provide the means by which this role can be extended so as additionally to cover the Commission Regulation.

- **Sanctions**

8.2 A person who fails to comply with the requirements of Regulation 372/2007 is liable, if convicted of an offence on indictment under Section 4(1)(a) under these proposed Regulations, to a term of imprisonment not exceeding two years or to a fine or both; on summary conviction they are liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding six months or to a fine not exceeding the statutory maximum or both. These penalties are in line with The Food Safety Act 1990, as amended.

- **Monitoring**

8.3 The authorities in England routinely monitor foodstuffs on sale to the public to ensure compliance with regulations. The results of this work carried out by the Agency are published and are openly available on the Agency's website. We shall therefore, routinely survey materials and articles on the market to ensure compliance with the Regulations. The Food Standards Agency will work with enforcement authorities where problems or suspected infringements of the Regulations arise. The effectiveness of the proposed Regulations will also be monitored via feedback from stakeholders as part of the ongoing policy process. We shall also continue to talk to industry to ensure that no unforeseen difficulties arise from these Regulations.

9, Implementation and delivery plan

9.1 It is intended that the Statutory Instrument will be laid before Parliament in early October 2007 with a coming into force date of 29th October 2007.

9.2 Guidance for businesses has been developed and sent to all stakeholders consulted, informing them of the changes in these proposals. The guidance has also been published on the Agency's website at www.food.gov.uk. Information about the new Regulations will also be disseminated in an explanatory note, which covers current issues on food contact materials and any future ones. This note is updated periodically and is a useful tool, which is designed to provide a general introduction to EU harmonised legislation and its implementation in the UK.

10. Post-implementation review

10.1. Member States are obliged under the Commission Regulation to ensure that inspections and other control measures, as appropriate, are carried out to ensure compliance with that Regulation. The authorities in England routinely monitor foodstuffs on sale to the public to ensure compliance with regulations. The results of this work are published and are openly available. We shall, therefore, routinely survey materials and articles on the market to ensure compliance with the Regulations and work with enforcement authorities where problems or suspected infringements of the Regulations arise. The effectiveness of the SI will also be monitored via feedback from stakeholders as part of the ongoing policy process. We shall also continue to routinely talk to industry to ensure that no unforeseen difficulties arise from these Regulations.

10.2. The need to review the Regulations will not be necessary as they put in place interim measures which are only valid until the end of June 2008.

11. Summary and recommendations

11.1. The proposals here provide for the effective enforcement of the Commission Regulation and they also provide businesses with harmonised rules that apply across the European Union.

11.2. The Agency believes that the advantages of full implementation of the proposals that are the subject of this regulatory impact assessment will benefit industry, enforcement authorities and consumers. The measures proposed are important in providing the means for improved enforcement and essential consumer health protection and improved products. We recommend that Regulation 372/2007/EC is enforced and in English law. Industry fully supports the pursuit of Option 2 which has the desired effect in achieving the means of adequate enforcement of Regulation 372/2007/EC. **Option 2 is therefore recommended as a means of achieving this.**

- **Summary costs and benefits table**

11.3. The cost implications arising from the EC Regulation and as outlined in option 2 are negligible. The proposed Plastic Materials and Articles in Contact with Food (Lid Gaskets) (England) Regulations 2007, merely extend existing statutory controls so as to provide for the enforcement of Regulation (EC) No. 372/2007/EC and the resources implications are negligible. Guidance prepared on the new Regulations for industry will help minimise costs to business.

Option	Total cost per annum: - economic, environmental, social - policy and administrative	Total benefit per annum: economic, environmental, social	Groups affected
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infraction proceedings against the UK Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enforcement authorities and port health authorities • Manufacturers of food packaging, specifically including those companies manufacturing gaskets in lids intended for food contact, importers and distributors. • Consumers
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No quantifiable information was received by the Agency following the consultation in 2005 or the recent consultation in May 2007 in respect of Regulation 372/2007/EC • The cost implications for businesses may include a small administration cost 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased level of consumer confidence as the UK will enjoy the same enforcement level of protection as the EU. • The new English Regulations will ensure that measures, which are applicable throughout the EU, are in place, thereby 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enforcement authorities and port health authorities • Manufacturers of food packaging, specifically including those companies manufacturing

	<p>of reading the new Regulations. However, since this is already a legal requirement, this is not a new cost burden; because the English Regulations are a short-lived measure which will expire once the European Regulations are no longer applicable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By enabling enforcement of the European Regulation, for defences against alleged offences, and for penalties upon conviction for an offence, enforcement authorities might incur some additional resource costs. However these are likely to be negligible. 	<p>facilitating trade and creating a 'level playing field' and facilitating further trade.</p>	<p>gaskets in lids intended for food contact, importers and distributors.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consumers
--	---	--	---

12. Declaration and publication

I have read the regulatory impact assessment and I am satisfied that the benefits justify the costs

Signed *Dawn Primarolo*

Date *19th September 2007*

**Dawn Primarolo MP
Minister of State for Public Health
Department of Health**

Contact Point

Richard Sinclair
Food Standards Agency
Chemical Safety and Incident Prevention
Room 717C
Aviation House
125 Kingsway
London
WC2B 6NH

Telephone: 020 7276 8538

Fax: 020 7276 8789

Email: Richard.sinclair@foodstandards.gsi.gov.uk