

Summary: Intervention & Options

Department /Agency: MHRA/DH	Title: Impact Assessment of maintaining access to medicines in the event of a pandemic	
Stage: Final	Version: Final	Date: 4 May 2009
Related Publications: DH National Framework for Responding to an Influenza Pandemic		

Available to view or download at:

<http://www.mhra.gov.uk/Publications/Consultations/Medicinesconsultations/index.htm>

Contact for enquiries: Anne Thyer

Telephone: 020 7084 2642

What is the problem under consideration? Why is government intervention necessary?

In the event of a pandemic it is likely that a vastly increased number of people will contact NHS services for advice, diagnosis, treatment and medicines, in particular antivirals. The UK currently has a stockpile of antivirals for 50% of the population, but other medicines could become in short supply. Estimates suggest that a pandemic could, in one way or another, affect up to 50% of the population. Special measures are therefore required to safeguard supplies of medicines and their availability to those that need them during a pandemic.

What are the policy objectives and the intended effects?

to ensure the continued supply of medicines and easy access for those that need them during a pandemic through legislative changes and streamlined working processes and to make corresponding amendments to NHS Pharmaceutical services regulations to support those arrangements.

What policy options have been considered? Please justify any preferred option.

Option 1: No action - this would result in severe impact on availability of medicines. Option 2a & 2b: proposed amendments to selected legislation to ensure flexibility across a range of medicines legislation during a pandemic, coupled with changes to processes and procedures to support those flexibilities. Option 3-6 in combination: Lift all legislation covering the supply of medicines and health consumables; issue guidance for local Primary Care Organisations' action; identify Contractor Service Continuity Planning Action or expand prescribing flexibilities for community nurses.

When will the policy be reviewed to establish the actual costs and benefits and the achievement of the desired effects? the policy will be reviewed on a regular basis to ensure arrangements remain appropriate for dealing with an actual or threatened pandemic

Ministerial Sign-off For Final Stage Impact Assessments:

I have read the Impact Assessment and I am satisfied that, given the available evidence, it represents a reasonable view of the likely costs, benefits and impact of the leading options.

Signed by the responsible Minister:

..... Date:

Summary: Analysis & Evidence

Policy Option: Option 2	Description: changes in medicines legislation to enable supply of medicines via national "flu line"
--------------------------------	--

COSTS	ANNUAL COSTS	Description and scale of key monetised costs by 'main affected groups' Costs included in the DH national flu service business case. Not provided due to "commercial confidentiality"
	One-off (Transition) Yrs	
	£	
	Average Annual Cost (excluding one-off)	
	£	
Total Cost (PV)		£
Other key non-monetised costs by 'main affected groups'		

BENEFITS	ANNUAL BENEFITS	Description and scale of key monetised benefits by 'main affected groups' Patients: Health benefit of antiviral supply : Health benefit of continued supply of medicines to those that need them
	One-off Yrs	
	£	
	Average Annual Benefit (excluding one-off)	
	£ 31.5bn	
Total Benefit (PV)		£ 31.5bn
Other key non-monetised benefits by 'main affected groups'		
the main benefit is in terms of continued supply of medicines to those affected by pandemic flu which in turn will reduce costs on emergency treatment by the NHS		

Key Assumptions/Sensitivities/Risks

Assumption is that up to 50% of population could be affected by pandemic flu or the impact of the pandemic. This could result in shortages of medicines or difficulties in distribution due to depleted workforce in NHS or pharmaceutical industries

Price Base Year 0	Time Period Years	Net Benefit Range (NPV) £	NET BENEFIT (NPV Best estimate) £
----------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------	---

What is the geographic coverage of the policy/option?	UK				
On what date will the policy be implemented?	when required				
Which organisation(s) will enforce the policy?	DH/MHRA				
What is the total annual cost of enforcement for these organisations?	£ n/k				
Does enforcement comply with Hampton principles?	Yes				
Will implementation go beyond minimum EU requirements?	No				
What is the value of the proposed offsetting measure per year?	£ N/A				
What is the value of changes in greenhouse gas emissions?	£ N?A				
Will the proposal have a significant impact on competition?	No				
Annual cost (£-£) per organisation (excluding one-off)	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">Micro</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">Small</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">Medium</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">Large</td> </tr> </table>	Micro	Small	Medium	Large
Micro	Small	Medium	Large		
Are any of these organisations exempt?	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">N/A</td> </tr> </table>	No	No	N/A	N/A
No	No	N/A	N/A		

Impact on Admin Burdens Baseline (2005 Prices)		(Increase - Decrease)
Increase of £ N/A	Decrease of £ N/A	Net Impact £ N/A

Key: Annual costs and benefits: Constant Prices (Net) Present Value

Evidence Base (for summary sheets)

INTRODUCTION

1. Influenza pandemics (pandemic flu) are natural phenomena that tend to occur two or three times each century. Their severity has ranged from something similar to seasonal flu to a major threat, with many millions of people worldwide becoming ill and a large proportion of these dying (such as the “Spanish Flu” of 1918/19). No country can expect to escape the impact of a pandemic entirely. When it arrives, most people are likely to be exposed to an increased risk of catching the virus at some point. Influenza pandemics therefore pose a unique international and national challenge. As well as their potential to cause serious harm to human health, they threaten wider social and economic damage and disruption as large numbers of people become ill and/or need to care for members of their household who are ill and are consequently unable to pursue their normal activities. Measures to prevent, detect and control pandemics require coordinated international effort and cooperation. One country’s action – or inaction – potentially affects many others.
2. Although it is highly likely that another influenza pandemic will occur at some time, it is impossible to forecast its exact timing or the precise nature of its impact. This uncertainty is one of the main challenges for policy makers and planners. Even if – as seems likely – a pandemic originates abroad, it will probably affect the UK within two to four weeks of becoming an epidemic in its country of origin. It could then take only one or two more weeks to spread to all major population centres here.
3. A key element in the planned UK response to an influenza pandemic is to provide affected people with medication to mitigate the effects of the virus, treat complications arising from sickness with the virus and ensure that those who need medicines to treat other conditions can continue to receive them. This Impact Assessment outlines the options we have examined to maintain people’s access to key medicines during a pandemic flu and assesses our favoured options.
4. This document should be read in conjunction with the “National Framework for responding to an influenza pandemic” (and related clinical guidelines) which sets out the likely pandemic planning assumptions to deal with the health and social aspects. To see the full Framework visit the website <http://dh.gov.uk/pandemicflu>
5. A pandemic flu is likely to place enormous pressure on the health and social care system. This pressure will include the mass distribution of flu related medicines, primarily antivirals via the National Flu-Line or the mass administration of vaccines (when they become available). At the same time, people with long term and chronic illness - some of which can be exacerbated by flu - are often dependent on their medicines to maintain a stable life. Any disruption to their supply may lead to deterioration in their conditions. This could mean higher rates of emergency call outs or hospital admissions for non-flu related illness. In addition, key health and social care staff may be asked to prioritise services for those who are seriously ill over those who need routine appointments (e.g. for repeat prescriptions) and alternatives will be needed to support those who need those routine appointments. A pandemic may also affect the normal supply chain for delivery of medicinal products.

PURPOSE AND INTENT

6. The key aim is to ensure that people have ready access to the medicines they need and that they can access them safely. This will enable health and social care workers to support patients within the law in the complex and difficult circumstances of a pandemic flu. The changes outlined in this Impact Assessment will operate only in the event of a pandemic or as a result of a pandemic outside the UK having a potential or actual detrimental effect on the supply of medicines within the UK.

7. This document outlines the options considered, the assumptions made and the costs and benefits concluded for our favoured option (both the monetised and non-monetised).

BACKGROUND

8. In normal situations, patients access primary care (such as their GP, key health worker or high street pharmacy) safely and effectively through a variety of tried and trusted processes. In each of these processes, health and social care workers are guided by professional codes and legal frameworks in their care and support of patients. However, in the event of a pandemic flu, both access to, and use of, these services would be under intense pressure
9. The UK's preparedness plans are based on modelling where up to half the population could become infected during the course of the pandemic flu, which might last fifteen weeks and could be followed by shorter outbreaks. Therefore we can expect some health and social care professionals to be ill themselves or away from work caring for their families. In order to make the best use of the resources available to the population, those health professionals able to work will need to focus their time on those who are most ill.

RATIONALE FOR GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION

10. It is believed that these factors will create pressure on current processes both from increased demand for services and from staff shortages. With no action taken, the Department of Health believes there might be significant delays for some patients in accessing advice and essential medicines, or they may not be able to access them at all during the peak period of a pandemic flu. This would create distress and ill health for patients and still further pressure on the infrastructure of the NHS. It is also believed that health and social care staff might, with the best intentions, breach regulations or professional guidance in order to supply medicines and healthcare products, leaving themselves personally liable. We want to create maximum flexibility within a safe framework which enables health services to draw on all the staff resources available to it to provide the care that the public needs.
11. A key part of the health response to a flu pandemic is the provision of antiviral medicines for those affected and the Government has stockpiled these. The Department of Health has identified that special arrangements are needed for the supply of these medicines to the public so as to complement rather than further disrupt local healthcare arrangements and to make the minimum necessary use of healthcare professionals.

OPTIONS

12. The Department initially examined five options based on a planning assumption that a pandemic influenza would have an infection rate of between 25-50% (previous pandemics have had an infection rate of between 25-35% of population):-
 - Option 1 Do not act
 - Option 2 Proposed amendments to selected legislation
 - i. 2a : Changes in medicines legislation to enable supply of medicines via national flu line
 - ii. 2b Limited amendments to medicines legislation concerning manufacture, distribution, changes to marketing authorisations and supply of medicines, including controlled drugs, during a pandemic
 - Option 3 Lift all legislation covering the supply of medicines and health consumables
 - Option 4 In combination with option 3, issue guidance for local Primary Care Organisations' action

- Option 5 In combination with option 3, identify Contractor Service Continuity Planning Action
 - Option 6 Amend medicines legislation to introduce/expand prescribing flexibilities for community nurses
13. Option 2 was determined within the Department of Health as the only option offering benefits, within acceptable monetary and non-monetary costs, on the basis that the pandemic flu infection rate will not exceed 50% of the population. (In the unlikely event of an infection rate beyond 50% there may be a need for civil contingency action.) Broadly, it was felt that this was the only option which would ensure a coherent national system with sufficient safeguards in place to enable the health services to cope with significant additional demands on resources at a time when those resources are depleted by staff absence. The possible amendments in Option 2 were supported during the initial consultation from November 2007 – February 2008, although some new proposals have subsequently been developed. No responses received in response to the 2007/08 consultation supported any of the other options which were outlined in the Impact Assessment attached to that consultation. Options 3-5 were considered to wide-ranging to properly safeguard public health even in a pandemic and that the non-quantified risks were disproportionate.
14. The MHRA, with the Department of Health and the Home Office, are proposing a number of specific amendments to legislation, following the initial consultation between November 2007 and February 2008. The proposed amendments in this second consultation are based on the responses from external stakeholders to the 2007/2008 consultation. The proposed amendments are in the areas of maintaining supplies of essential medicines, medical devices and health consumables, introducing flexibilities around manufacturing, inspections, dispensing and supply of medicines, reviewing and providing guidance on regulations relating to controlled drugs and facilitating the mass distribution of flu related medicines to people who are showing symptoms of flu.
15. The specific proposals for amendments to legislation supported following the 2007-2008 consultation and taken forward in this consultation are set out below.

Measures providing access to stockpiled medicines

- A. prescription only medicines can be supplied without a prescription and need not be supplied from registered pharmacy premises – This allows, for example, the flu-line to authorise the supply of antivirals and enables antiviral collection centres to operate from premises which are not pharmacies.

The Department of Health in England and the Devolved Administrations plan to set up a National Flu Line service to enable symptomatic patients to have rapid access to antiviral treatment. The key functions of the National Flu Line service will be to:

1. provide callers with access to automated information on pandemic-related issues
2. assess callers to determine their eligibility for antiviral medicine treatment (ie whether they are symptomatic and can take the first dose within 48 hours of onset of symptoms)
3. authorise antiviral medicine treatment if appropriate
4. refer eligible callers (in practice collection will be by a family member, friend or carer of the patient) to an antiviral collection point to collect the patient's antiviral medicine, or to another part of the

health and social care system, as appropriate (eg where the caller has further higher-level needs).

- B. expand the current legislation to enable businesses to extend the scope of their Occupational Health Schemes, if they wish, to supply anti-viral medicines to members of the households of their employees

Measures easing access to essential medicines

- A. introduce a provision to introduce some changes to legislation to ease medicines supply prior to a pandemic being declared in the UK – as other countries on whom we rely for supplies of medicines or medicinal substances may be affected before the UK
- B. enable the pandemic arrangements to remain in place for 6 – 9 months after a pandemic has ended in the UK with quicker return to normal for some medicines If required – this allows time for the pharmaceutical supply chain to recover to normal but enables the earlier full regulation of medicines where that is to the benefit of patients and public health.
- C. enable wholesale dealers to supply unlicensed medicines if equivalents with UK licences run out
- D. streamline processes for receiving, assessing and authorising a range of variations to licences issued by the MHRA – this will enable variations which ease supply problems to be implemented quickly while retaining legal safeguards on the safety and quality of medicines
- E. enable certain prescription only medicines to be supplied without a prescription and to be supplied from premises other than registered pharmacy premises – this could enable any locally held supplies to be made available to patients who need them under arrangements made by local NHS bodies
- F. allow supply of expired and returned medicines – this gives pharmacists the option of making supplies go further by giving patients medicines which are past their licensed expiry date or which have been returned to the pharmacy by a patient who no longer requires them. This would be at the pharmacist's discretion and they will be given guidance to help them use that discretion
- G. allow prescription only quantities of medicines to be supplied through combination of pharmacy and General Sales List packs – this gives pharmacists more flexibility in being able to supply prescription only quantities of medicines where supplies were in shortage
- H. allow a pharmacist to reach a decision about emergency supply of medicines during an influenza pandemic without the need to have interviewed the patient. (This proposal is in addition to the permanent changes proposed in paragraph 16 below but will apply only for the period of the pandemic.)
- I. A number of proposals governing the supply of controlled drugs during an influenza pandemic, eg diamorphine, methadone:
 - a. Extend repeat dispensing to Schedule 2 and 3, the first supply to be made within 28 days at prescriber's discretion

- b. discretion to supply contrary to the instalment prescription but only in certain respects, e.g. the intervals at which the dosages can be supplied
- c. allow emergency supply of controlled drugs (5 days for Schedule 2 and 3 and up to 30 days for Schedule 4 and 5) by pharmacists
- d. allow a doctor to administer, prescribe and/or supply diamorphine for the management of addiction without a Home Office licence -
- e. controlled drugs must, so far as circumstances permit, be kept in a locked cabinet or safe that shall be securely fixed to a wall or floor but the remaining requirements of Schedule 2 of 1973 Regulations do not apply.

J. A number of proposals for changes to arrangements for NHS pharmaceutical services in England and Wales. (NB: These changes are subject to separate legislation and are not dealt with in this IA.):

- a. RPSGB to register alternative premises as temporary registered pharmacy premises
- b. the Annual Return due in January will be replaced by a commitment to submit the Return within 6 weeks of the announcement of the end of the pandemic emergency
- c. allow a contractor to notify the appropriate Primary Care Trust (PCT) of alternative registered temporary pharmacy premises
- d. contractors may vary their hours or temporarily to suspend all provision of NHS services provided they give 24 hours' prior notice to the PCT

Proposals to permanently amend legislation governing the emergency supply of medicines (and not restricted to an influenza pandemic)

16. Following support from the 2007-2008 consultation that permanent changes should be made to legislation covering the emergency supply of medicines, the proposals are to
- a. allow supply for up to 30 days
 - b. enable dentists to request emergency supply

BENEFITS AND COSTS

17. The costs and benefits of the 2007-2008 proposals for changes to medicines legislation in the event of a pandemic flu outbreak were evaluated in a previous document: "Possible amendments to medicines and associated legislation during an influenza pandemic" published in November 2007 [http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Consultations/Closedconsultations/DH_080768]
18. Since this evaluation, the proposals have been modified. However it is not expected that changes in the proposals will result in significantly different net impacts.
19. This section explains the costs and benefits expected to arise from the current proposals, and makes reference to the previous evaluation, where appropriate, in order to indicate the expected magnitudes of these effects.

Summary of benefits and costs

20. By convention, all impacts affecting government expenditure are reckoned as costs. All other impacts are accounted as benefits. The large number of proposed amendments to legislation are expected to have two main beneficial impacts. First, they will enable rapid

delivery of the UK stockpile of anti-viral medicines. Second, they will ameliorate expected problems for patients in accessing other medicines needed in a flu outbreak, and medicines unrelated to flu. In addition to these positive benefits, relaxing the current legislative constraints on supply of medicines could have some offsetting negative health consequences.

21. The main cost impacts are the expenses incurred in allowing access to the stockpile of anti-viral medicines, and the secondary implications of relaxing medicines supply restrictions on NHS spending.

Benefits

22. By convention, all policy impacts except those affecting government expenditure are accounted as benefits.

Benefits of delivering the anti-viral stockpile

23. The health benefit of delivering the UK stockpile of anti-viral medicines has previously been estimated at **£64 bn**¹. Under standard assumptions for the magnitude and timing of the outbreak, this benefit has previously been estimated to correspond with a present value of **£31 bn** [“Possible amendments to medicines and associated legislation during an influenza pandemic” published in November 2007 [http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Consultations/Closedconsultations/DH_080768].

24. It is considered reasonable to regard the impact of the measures proposed in this document as enabling the health benefits of the anti-viral stockpile to be realised, because without them it could not be delivered. However this implies that any previous stages in this process (eg purchasing the stockpile) had zero health benefit – because the stockpile could not have been delivered without the provisions discussed here. It would be better practice to aggregate all the costs implied in anti-viral provision, in order to avoid double-counting of the resulting health benefits.

25.

26. Further health benefits will result from freeing capacity in the NHS that would otherwise be engaged in ensuring flu medicines are supplied.

Benefits of maintaining access to other medicines

27. Measures proposed for easing restrictions on the supply of other medicines will benefit patients who would otherwise be unable to access treatments they need. It has previously been estimated that these measure would lead to the restoration of at least **10%** of the pre-outbreak level of medicines supply, corresponding to a present value of **£260m** [“Possible amendments to medicines and associated legislation during an influenza pandemic” published in November 2007 [http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Consultations/Closedconsultations/DH_080768]].

¹ DH Pre-Pandemic Flu Business case, Annex B. Assumptions: clinical attack rate 25%; antiviral effective; no effective vaccination. This analysis assumes that the allocation of antiviral according to this system is as expected in the previous calculation – i.e. that the antivirals will not be mis-allocated by the flu line and occupational health services, in comparison to the allocation system assumed previously.

28. Besides the positive health benefit of enabling access to medicines, measures that will relax restrictions on the supply of drugs could have some negative consequences. These negative impacts have not been quantified.

29. Possible negative impacts include

- More medication errors, leading to additional health problems for patients
- Patients receive medication packs with which they are not familiar
- Loss of some patient safeguards
- Patients use revised procedures to stockpile medicines

Costs

30. By convention, impacts affecting government spending are accounted as costs.

Costs of enabling access to anti-viral medicines

31. The costs of providing access to the stockpile of anti-viral medicines have not been provided as they are commercially sensitive

Costs of enabling access to other medicines

32. The proposals for lifting constraints on supply of other medicines are expected to incur additional costs for the NHS. These have not been evaluated in detail as the costs are commercially sensitive.

33. Possible costs include

- Payments to pharmacies, who must sustain costs of changed supply mechanisms
- Prescription Pricing Division administration costs
- Higher unit costs as pharmacies use smaller pack sizes
- Additional healthcare interventions required because of medication errors

Outcome of consultation

33. Forty (40) replies were received to the public consultation. All were supportive of the proposals which were regarded as an appropriate balance between safeguarding public health and ensuring that medicines reached those that needed them during the unusual and demanding circumstances of a pandemic. The consultation ended before the recent emergence of swine flu but this has evidenced the need for the arrangements proposed in the original consultations.

34. None of the proposals in the consultation were regarded as having an adverse effect on any equality issue as they are aimed at ensuring a continued supply of medicines to the UK population during the emergency situation of a pandemic. Specific details are set out in the following paragraphs.

Small Firms Impact Test

35. Small business are not considered to be disadvantaged by the proposals as they enable businesses involved with all aspects of medicines and devices for human use to continue to undertake their normal business during a pandemic with streamlined regulatory processes.

Competition Assessment

36. The proposals are not considered to have any actual or potential impact on business competition as they enable businesses involved with all aspects of medicines and devices for human use to continue to undertake their normal business during a pandemic with streamlined regulatory processes.

Equality Impact Assessment:

37. No Equality Impact Assessment is required as the proposed policy has no disproportionate impact on race or other relevant equalities. The proposed policy will not have any disproportionate impact on rural populations.

Legal Aid, Sustainable Developments, Carbon assessment, other environmental issues

38. There are no impacts on environmental, sustainable development or carbon offsetting from these proposals. There are no implications for Legal Aid from these proposals.

Post-implementation review

39. These proposals will be kept under review to ensure that they remain fit for purpose in ensuring the continued availability of medicines during a pandemic. In addition they will be reviewed at the cessation of pandemic.

Summary and Recommendations

40. Option 2 was determined within the Department of Health as the only option offering benefits, within acceptable monetary and non-monetary costs, on the basis that the pandemic flu infection rate will not exceed 50% of the population. (In the unlikely event of an infection rate beyond 50% there may be a need for civil contingency action.) Broadly, it was felt that this was the only option which would ensure a coherent national system with sufficient safeguards in place to enable the health services to cope with significant additional demands on resources at a time when those resources are depleted by staff absence

Specific Impact Tests: Checklist

Ensure that the results of any tests that impact on the cost-benefit analysis are contained within the main evidence base; other results may be annexed.

Type of testing undertaken	<i>Results in Evidence Base?</i>	<i>Results annexed?</i>
Competition Assessment	Yes	No
Small Firms Impact Test	Yes	No
Legal Aid	Yes	No
Sustainable Development	Yes	No
Carbon Assessment	Yes	No
Other Environment	Yes	No
Health Impact Assessment	Yes	No
Race Equality	Yes	No
Disability Equality	Yes	No
Gender Equality	Yes	No
Human Rights	Yes	No
Rural Proofing	Yes	No

Annexes

None