EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO

THE EXETER (ELECTORAL CHANGES) ORDER 2016

2016 No. XXXX

1. Introduction

1.1 This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England and is laid before Parliament by Command of Her Majesty.

2. Purpose of the instrument

2.1 The Order provides for new district wards and numbers of district councillors for the city of Exeter at the district elections in 2016 and thereafter.

3. Matters of special interest to Parliament

Matters of special interest to the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments

3.1 None.

Other matters of interest to the House of Commons

3.2 As this instrument is subject to the negative procedure and has not been prayed against, consideration as to whether there are other matters of interest to the House of Commons does not arise at this stage.

4. Legislative Context

4.1 The Commission has power under section 59 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (the 2009 Act) to make an order giving effect to recommendations contained in a report, prepared under section 58(4) of the 2009 Act, after conducting an electoral review under section 56(1) of that Act. This instrument is being made to give effect to the Commission's recommendations for new electoral arrangements set out in its report prepared following an electoral review of the city of Exeter.

5. Extent and Territorial Application

5.1 This instrument applies to England.

6. European Convention on Human Rights

As the instrument is subject to negative resolution procedure and does not amend primary legislation, no statement is required.

7. Policy background

What is being done and why

7.1 The purpose of an electoral review is to decide on the appropriate electoral arrangements including the number of councillors and the names, number and boundaries of wards or divisions for a specific local authority. The Commission began the electoral review of Exeter in December 2014. The Commission decided to conduct the review of Exeter City Council as, based on the 2014 electorate figures,

1

TNA/EM/10-2015

- 20% of the city's wards have a variance of more than 10% from the average for the authority. Of these, one ward – Topsham – has an electoral variance of 50%.
- 7.2 An electoral review aims to ensure that the number of electors represented by each county or district councillor is as close to equal as possible, but the recommendations must also have regard to community identities and interests and the need for effective and convenient local government. To achieve these aims, the Commission tries to ensure that the number of electors per councillor in every division or ward is as close as possible to the average for the authority, but is happy to show flexibility in moving away from the average based on the evidence provided during the consultation stages of the review. Following a four-stage review process the Commission published its 'Final recommendations for new electoral arrangements for Exeter City Council' on 29 September 2015.
- 7.3 The Order provides for changes to the electoral arrangements for Exeter City Council at the district elections in 2016 as recommended by the Commission. The existing wards of the district will be replaced by 13 new ones. All 13 wards will each return three councillors.
- 7.4 To give effect to all or any of its recommendations, the Commission, under section 59 of the 2009 Act, can include provisions in its order to provide for the number of councillors to be returned by any electoral area, the election of councillors and the order of retirement of councillors for any electoral area. In order to implement the new electoral arrangements for the district, article 4 of the Order makes provision that there should be a whole council election in 2016 on the new warding arrangements. The district will revert back to the established system of elections by thirds in 2018. That article provides for the order of retirement of councillors and therefore the number of councillors for each ward that will be elected for 2018, 2019 and 2020. Under the district's current cycle of elections, there are no elections scheduled for the district in 2017.
- 7.5 Under section 56 of the 2009 Act, whenever the Commission recommends changes to the electoral arrangements for a district council it must also recommend whether, in consequence of those changes, any changes should be made to the electoral arrangements for any parish council that is within that district. Among other things, under Schedule 2 to that Act recommendations must ensure that no parish ward is split between new district wards and under section 56 of that Act recommendations must be made regarding the number of parish councillors for each parish ward.
- 7.6 Consequently, in addition to making changes to the electoral arrangements for the district the Order also, where necessary, makes provision with respect to the establishment of new parish wards and sets the number of parish councillors for each of those parish wards.

Consolidation

7.7 The Order does not amend or revoke any legislation.

8. **Consultation outcome**

8.1 The Order gives effect to recommendations that were consulted on during the review of electoral arrangements from December 2014 until July 2015. There was an initial

TNA/EM/10-2015 2

¹ http://www.lgbce.org.uk/ data/assets/pdf file/0018/26262/Exeter-FinalRecommendations-Edited-2015-09-16-v1.pdf

period in late 2014, during which the Commission asked for evidence about the most appropriate number of councillors for the district. This was followed by a 12-week consultation on the most appropriate ward boundaries for the district. Having considered the submissions received, the Commission published its 'Draft recommendations for new electoral arrangements for Exeter' on 12 May 2015. Following a further eight-week consultation on the draft recommendations, the Commission considered the further evidence received and published its final recommendations.

- 8.2 During the course of the review, the Commission received 113 representations. The consultations involved Exeter City Council, political groups, local organisations and other interested parties. The Commission consulted on a council size of 39, a decrease of one. This figure was suggested by Exeter City Council, which it felt would ensure effective and convenient local government.
- 8.3 The Commission received 57 submissions in response to its consultation on warding patterns including a city-wide scheme from the Council. The Council proposed moving away from the uniform pattern of three member wards in the north of the city. In accordance with legislation, as the Council elects by thirds, there is a presumption towards a uniform pattern of three-member wards. The Commission based its draft recommendations on aspects of the submissions received, but was not persuaded to move away from a uniform pattern of three-member wards for the city.
- 8.4 The Commission received 56 submissions in response to its consultation on draft recommendations, the majority of which referred to the proposed warding arrangements in three areas: Duryard & St James, Topsham, and St Leonard's.
- 8.5 The Commission considered the representations received and was persuaded that sufficient evidence had been received to make minor modifications to the boundaries proposed in St Leonards, and in Heavitree. While alternative warding patterns were promoted for other parts of the district, the Commission did not consider that persuasive evidence had been received explaining why these alternatives would better reflect the statutory criteria. The Commission therefore confirmed the remainder of its draft recommendations for the district as final.
- 8.6 A detailed analysis of the outcome of the consultation is set out in the report 'Final recommendations New electoral arrangements for Exeter City Council' which is available at http://www.lgbce.org.uk/current-reviews/south-west/devon/exeter

9. Guidance

- 9.1 The Commission does not intend to issue any guidance alongside this instrument. This is not considered necessary as the Order is self-explanatory and gives effect to recommendations following consultation with interested parties as to the changes to electoral arrangements.
- 9.2 Once the Order has been made, the Commission will publish a press release and distribute to local media advising that new electoral arrangements will be implemented at the next local elections. The press release will also direct interested parties to the Commission's website where the final recommendations will be available in detail.

TNA/EM/10-2015 3

 $^{^{2} \, \}underline{\text{http://www.lgbce.org.uk/}} \, \, \underline{\text{data/assets/pdf file/0003/25365/LGBCE-15-XX-Exeter-draft-recommendations-LongReport-FINAL.pdf}}$

10. Impact

- 10.1 There is no impact on business, charities or voluntary bodies.
- 10.2 The impact on the public sector will be limited to the area for which the Order makes provision. The one-off cost of producing the map referred to by the instrument is to be funded by the Commission. The one-off cost of amending the electoral register to reflect the new district wards and parish wards is to be funded by Exeter City Council.
- 10.3 An Impact Assessment has not been prepared for this instrument.

11. Regulating small business

11.1 The legislation does not apply to activities that are undertaken by small businesses.

12. Monitoring & review

12.1 The Commission will have no role in monitoring Exeter City Council implementation of the Exeter (Electoral Changes) Order 2016. The Commission is not required to undertake such monitoring; that is a matter for the relevant officers of Exeter City Council. The Order will be reviewed insofar as the Commission continually monitors local authorities in England to identify any that meet its criteria for electoral reviews.

13. Contact

13.1 Marcus Bowell at the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (Telephone: 0330 500 1250 or email: marcus.bowell@lgbce.org.uk) can answer any queries regarding the instrument.

4

TNA/EM/10-2015