

**EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO  
THE WEST DORSET (ELECTORAL CHANGES) ORDER 2015**

**2015 No. 0000**

1. This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (the Commission) and is laid before Parliament by Command of Her Majesty.

**2. Purpose of the instrument**

2.1. The Order provides for new district wards and numbers of district councillors for West Dorset District Council at the District elections in 2015 and thereafter. The Order also provides for new parish wards and numbers of parish councillors at the parish elections in 2015 and thereafter wherever this is necessary because a parish is to be split between new district wards.

**3. Matters of special interest to the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments**

3.1. None.

**4. Legislative context**

4.1. The Commission has power under section 59 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (the 2009 Act) to make an order giving effect to recommendations contained in a report, prepared under section 58(4) of the 2009 Act, after conducting an electoral review under section 56(1) of that Act. This instrument is being made to give effect to the Commission's recommendations for new electoral arrangements set out in its report prepared following an electoral review of West Dorset District.

**5. Territorial extent and application**

5.1. This instrument applies to England.

**6. European Convention on Human Rights**

6.1. As the instrument is subject to the negative resolution procedure and does not amend primary legislation, no statement is required.

**7. Policy background**

- What is being done and why

- 7.1. The purpose of an electoral review is to decide on the appropriate electoral arrangements including the number of councillors and the names, number and boundaries of wards or divisions for a specific local authority. The Commission began the electoral review of West Dorset in May 2013. The Commission decided to conduct the review as West Dorset triggered the Commission's criteria for conducting a review. This is when more than 30% of wards have a variance of more than 10% from the average for the district or where one or more ward has a variance of 30% or more from the average. Based on October 2012 electorate data provided by the Council, 36% of the district's wards currently have a variance of more than 10%. Of these, Dorchester North ward has an electoral variance of 27%.
- 7.2. An electoral review aims to ensure that the number of electors represented by each county or district councillor is as close to equal as possible, but the recommendations must also have regard to community identities and interests and the need for effective and convenient local government. To achieve these aims, the Commission tries to ensure that the number of electors per councillor in every division or ward is as close as possible to the average for the authority, but is happy to show flexibility in moving away from the average based on the evidence provided during the consultation stages of the review. Following a four-stage review process the Commission published its 'Final recommendations for new electoral arrangements for West Dorset District Council'<sup>1</sup> on 29 July 2014.
- 7.3. The Order provides for changes to the electoral arrangements for West Dorset District Council at the district elections in 2015 as recommended by the Commission. The existing wards of the district will be replaced by 24 new ones. Ten wards will each return one councillor, ten wards will each return two councillors and four wards will each return three councillors.
- 7.4. Under section 56 of the 2009 Act, whenever the Commission recommends changes to the electoral arrangements for a district council it must also recommend whether, in consequence of those changes, any changes should be made to the electoral arrangements for any parish council that is within that district. Among other things, under Schedule 2 to that Act recommendations must ensure that no parish ward is split between new district wards and under section 56 of that Act recommendations must be made regarding the number of parish councillors for each parish ward.
- 7.5. Consequently, in addition to making changes to the electoral arrangements for the district the Order also, where necessary, makes provision with respect to the establishment of new parish wards and sets the number of parish councillors for each of those parish wards.
- 7.6. The Order does not amend or revoke any legislation.

---

<sup>1</sup> [http://www.lgbce.org.uk/\\_\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0003/22890/West-Dorset-final-recs-report.pdf](http://www.lgbce.org.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0003/22890/West-Dorset-final-recs-report.pdf)

## 8. Consultation outcome

- 8.1. The Order gives effect to recommendations that were consulted on during the review of electoral arrangements from May 2013 until July 2014. There was an initial six-week consultation, during which the Commission asked for proposals on the most appropriate number of councillors for the district. This was followed by a ten-week consultation on the most appropriate ward boundaries for the district. Having considered the submissions received, the Commission published its 'Draft recommendations for new electoral arrangements for West Dorset'<sup>2</sup> on 12<sup>th</sup> February 2014. Following a further ten-week consultation on the draft recommendations, the Commission considered the further evidence received and published its final recommendations.
- 8.2. During the course of the review, the Commission received 104 representations. The consultations involved West Dorset District Council, parish councils, local organisations and other interested parties. The Commission initially consulted on a council size of 43, a reduction of five and a figure suggested by West Dorset District Council, which it considered would ensure effective and convenient local government for the district. During its consultation on council size we received no further submission from the Council, and seven representations from local residents and parish councils who broadly supported the reduction. We received 22 other submissions suggesting various other council sizes but none provided sufficient evidence to persuade the Commission to adopt a different size to that proposed by the Council
- 8.3. The Commission received 24 submissions in response to its consultation on warding patterns including a district-wide scheme from the Council. This was based on a council size of 42, a reduction of one from the council size that was consulted on, which it considered better reflected the statutory criteria. The Commission was of the view that this submission provided for good electoral equality and used clear ward boundaries. The Commission therefore based its draft recommendations on this submission.
- 8.4. The Commission received 51 submissions in response to its consultation on the draft recommendations including a submission from the Council. A number of these submissions supported the draft recommendations whilst several objected to particular parts of the proposals but did not offer alternatives which met the statutory criteria.
- 8.5. The Commission considered the representations and considered that sufficient evidence had been received to change the wards of Yetminster & Cam Vale, and Frome Valley as well as minor changes to boundaries of wards in the centre of Dorchester. While alternative warding patterns were promoted for other parts of the district, it did not consider that persuasive evidence had been received explaining why these alternatives would better reflect the statutory criteria. The Commission therefore confirmed the remainder of its draft recommendations for the district as final.

---

<sup>2</sup> [http://www.lgbce.org.uk/\\_\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0017/15119/west-dorset-draft-recommendations-post-editor-2014-02-11.pdf](http://www.lgbce.org.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0017/15119/west-dorset-draft-recommendations-post-editor-2014-02-11.pdf)

8.6. A detailed analysis of the outcome of the consultation is set out in the report 'Final recommendations for new electoral arrangements for West Dorset' which is available at <http://www.lgbce.org.uk/current-reviews/south-west/dorset/west-dorset-fer>

## **9. Guidance**

9.1. The Commission does not intend to issue any guidance alongside this instrument. This is not considered necessary as the Order is self-explanatory and gives effect to recommendations following consultation with interested parties as to the changes to electoral arrangements.

9.2. Once the Order has been made, the Commission will publish a press release and distribute to local media advising that new electoral arrangements will be implemented at the next local elections. The press release will also direct interested parties to the Commission's website where the final recommendations will be available in detail.

## **10. Impact**

10.1. No impact assessment has been prepared because no impact on the private sector or the voluntary sector is foreseen.

10.2. The impact on the public sector will be limited to the area for which the Order makes provision. The one-off cost of producing the map referred to by the instrument is to be funded by the Commission. The one-off cost of amending the electoral register to reflect the new district wards and parish wards is to be funded by West Dorset District Council.

## **11. Regulating small business**

11.1. The Order does not apply to small business.

## **12. Monitoring and review**

12.1. The Commission will have no role in monitoring West Dorset District Council's implementation of the West Dorset (Electoral Changes) Order 2015. The Commission is not required to undertake such monitoring; that is a matter for the relevant officers of West Dorset.

12.2. The Order will be reviewed insofar as the Commission continually monitors local authorities in England to identify any that meet its criteria for electoral reviews.

## **13. Contact**

13.1. Marcus Bowell at the Commission (Tel: 0207 664 8530 or email: [marcus.bowell@lgbce.org.uk](mailto:marcus.bowell@lgbce.org.uk)) can answer any queries regarding the instrument.