
STATUTORY RULES OF NORTHERN IRELAND

2001 No. 213

**The Housing Benefit (Decisions and Appeals)
Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2001**

Part IV

Rights of appeal and procedure for bringing appeals

Appeal against a decision which has been revised

17.—(1) An appeal against a decision of the relevant authority shall not lapse where the decision is revised under paragraph 3 of Schedule 7 to the Act before the appeal is determined and the decision as revised is not more advantageous to the appellant than the decision before it was so revised.

(2) For the purposes of this regulation, a decision which is more advantageous includes any decision where—

- (a) any housing benefit paid is greater or is awarded for a longer period in consequence of a decision made under paragraph 3 of Schedule 7 to the Act;
- (b) the amount of housing benefit in payment would have been greater but for the operation of the Administration Act in suspending the payment of, or disqualifying a claimant from receiving, some or all of the benefit;
- (c) as a result of the decision, a denial of, or disqualification for the receiving of, housing benefit is lifted, wholly or in part, or
- (d) in consequence of the revised decision, housing benefit paid is not recoverable by virtue of or as a consequence of section 73 of the Administration Act, or an amount so recoverable is reduced.

(3) Where a decision as revised under paragraph 3 of Schedule 7 to the Act is not more advantageous to the appellant than the decision before it was revised, the appeal shall be treated as though it had been brought against the decision as revised.

(4) The appellant shall have a period of one month from the date of notification of the decision as revised to make further representations as to the appeal.

(5) After the expiration of the period specified in paragraph (4), or within that period if the appellant consents in writing, the appeal to the appeal tribunal shall proceed except where, in the light of further representations from the appellant, the relevant authority further revises its decision and that decision is more advantageous to the appellant than the decision before it was revised.