EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO

THE MEDICINES FOR HUMAN USE (PRESCRIBING) (MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS) ORDER

2006 No. 915

1. This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), part of the Department of Health, and is laid before Parliament by Command of Her Majesty.

This memorandum contains information for the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments.

2. Description
   2.1 This Order amends the Prescription Only Medicines (Human Use) Order 1997 and the Medicines (Pharmacy and General Sale - Exemption) Order 1980 primarily to remove the Nurse Prescribers’ Extended Formulary (NPEF) and Extended Formulary Nurse Prescribers and to introduce prescribing by Nurse Independent Prescribers and Pharmacist Independent Prescribers. It also makes consequential amendments to the Medicines for Human Use (Marketing Authorisations Etc.) Regulations 1994, the Medicines (Child Safety) Regulations 2003 and the Medicines (Traditional Herbal Medicinal Products for Human Use) Regulations 2005. Related statutory instruments are the Medicines (Sale or Supply) (Miscellaneous Amendments) Regulations 2006, the National Health Service (Miscellaneous Amendments Relating to Independent Prescribing) Regulations 2006 and the Nurses and Midwives (Parts of and Entries in the Register) Amendment Order of Council 2006.

3. Matters of special interest to the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments
   3.1 The SI is due to come into force on 1 May.

4. Legislative Background
   4.1 This Order makes further amendments to the Prescription only Medicines (Human Use) Order 1997 (the POM Order) which specifies the description and classes of medicines (“prescription only medicines” (POMs)) which, subject to exceptions specified in the Order may only be sold or supplied in accordance with the prescription of an “appropriate practitioner”, and may be administered only in accordance with the directions of such a practitioner (section 58(2) of the Medicines Act 1968).

   4.2 The Order also makes further amendments to the Medicines (Pharmacy and General Sale - Exemption) Order 1980 which specify exemptions to the requirements for the sale or supply of Pharmacy (P) medicines (normally only from pharmacies by or under the supervision
of a pharmacist) and General Sale List (GSL) items (from lockable premises and in original manufacturer packs).

4.3 Community Practitioner Nurse Prescribers, formerly District Nurse/Health Visitor Prescribers will be able to prescribe a range of drugs, medicines and appliances as set out in the current edition of the British National Formulary.

4.4 Nurse Independent Prescribers (formerly known as Extended Formulary Nurse Prescribers (EFNPs)), who have successfully completed a programme of preparation and training, will be able to prescribe POMs, Ps and GSLs alone or in combination. However, Nurse Independent Prescribers will only be able to prescribe or administer a limited range of controlled drugs as set out in the revised Schedule 3A of the POM Order.

4.5 Pharmacist Independent Prescribers, having successfully completed a programme of preparation and training, will be appropriate practitioners for POMs, excluding controlled drugs, and will be able to prescribe POMs, Ps and GSLs alone or in combination.

4.6 The Order makes several consequential amendments to reflect changes made by the Health Act 1999 (Consequential Amendments) (Nursing and Midwifery) Order 2004 to the professional register maintained by the Nursing and Midwifery Council. In particular, changes have been made to the definition of “registered nurse” and “supplementary prescriber”.

4.7 The Order makes several technical amendments to the POM Order, for example, the removal of columns 2 to 4 from Schedule 2 as they ceased to have effect following an earlier amendment.

5. Extent
5.1 This instrument applies to all of the United Kingdom.

6.1 As the instrument is subject to negative resolution procedure and does not amend primary legislation, no statement is required.

7. Policy Background
7.1 The changes relating to prescribing and sale and supply of medicines form part of the Government’s policy of modernising the NHS. They enable appropriately trained healthcare professionals to prescribe, sell or supply medicines in the interests of improving patient care by appropriate use of professional skills.

7.2 The proposed amendments to expand nurse prescribing and to introduce independent prescribing by pharmacists were subject to public consultation and advice to Ministers by the then Committee on Safety of Medicines. Detailed analyses of the outcome of the two public consultation exercises have been published on the MHRA website: www.mhra.gov.uk In both cases there was general support
for the proposals although doctors’ organisations were more reticent, suggesting much more limited change.

8. Impact

8.1 A Regulatory Impact Assessment is attached to this memorandum. The proposals do not impose a cost compliance on business, charities or voluntary bodies. It will be for those providing health care services outside the NHS to decide whether they wish to implement independent prescribing by nurses or pharmacists. However if they wish to do so, there will be some costs associated with, for example, training. The Regulatory Impact Assessment, which also covers associated changes made by Medicines (Sale or Supply)(Miscellaneous Provisions) Regulations 1980, the Medicines for Human Use (Marketing Authorisations Etc.) Regulations 1994, the Medicines (Child Safety) Regulations 2003 and the Medicines (Traditional Herbal Medicinal Products for Human Use) Regulations 2005, has been prepared on that basis.

8.2 The impact on the public sector is principally to benefit patient care, by providing improved access to the medicines that patients need.

9. Contact

9.1 Anne Thyer at the MHRA tel: 020 7084 2642 or e-mail: anne.thyer@mhra.gsi.gov.uk can answer any queries regarding the instrument.
REGULATORY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

THE FUTURE OF THE NURSE PRESCRIBERS’ EXTENDED FORMULARY AND THE INTRODUCTION OF INDEPENDENT PRESCRIBING BY PHARMACISTS:

Issue
1. The Government is committed to improving patients’ access to NHS prescription medicines and making better use of professional skills, while freeing up time for GP appointments. This was set out in the NHS Plan July 2000 and the NHS Improvement Plan July 2004. As set out in paragraph 3, the NHS is not regarded as a “business, charity or voluntary organisation” for the purpose of this RIA but many of the same principles apply to healthcare services provided outside the NHS. The Government wants to ensure that patients both in the NHS and the independent healthcare sectors are treated in the same way with more access to professional skills and timely treatment.

The objective
2. The objective is to enhance patient care by improving access to medicines through an increased and more flexible use of nurse prescribing, and the introduction of independent prescribing by pharmacists, to:

- improve the quality of service to patients without compromising patient safety;
- make it easier for patients to get the medicines they need;
- increase patient choice in accessing medicines;
- free up the time of doctors to carry out other clinical work;
- contribute to the introduction of more flexible team working;
- maximise the benefits of fully utilising professional skills.

Scope of the RIA
3. The extent to which independent prescribing by nurses and pharmacists is adopted within national health organisations (NHS) is a matter for each of the devolved administrations. These national services are not regarded as a “business, charity or voluntary organisation” for the purpose of this RIA. Health services provided outside the NHS and the service provided by community pharmacists, (excluding, for the purpose of this RIA, their NHS business operations), are regarded as businesses. However, independent prescribing by nurses and pharmacists does not create a new regulatory environment with which the businesses must comply at the outset. Whether businesses, employers and individual health professionals offer, or train to undertake, independent prescribing in the context of this RIA is entirely a voluntary decision for them based on their commercial and professional judgement.

Risk Assessment
4. In respect of nurses, the risks of not taking action could mean that patients may not be able to access easily the medicines they need, and the Nurse Prescribers’ Extended Formulary may become more complicated for nurses to follow. Enabling nurses to prescribe any licensed medicine for any condition subject to clinical competence will not be at the expense of endangering public health. Nurse Independent Prescribers (the new title for those qualified as Extended Formulary
Nurse Prescribers) will only be able to prescribe after completing the relevant training courses and being accredited by their regulatory body. Similar comments apply to the introduction of full prescribing responsibilities for pharmacists. Pharmacists currently prescribe as Supplementary Prescribers and the progression to independent prescriber status is an extension of that responsibility. Pharmacist Independent Prescribers will only be able to prescribe as such once they have completed the relevant training courses and been accredited by their regulatory body. In order to maintain accreditation Nurse Independent Prescribers and Pharmacist Independent Prescribers will need to demonstrate that they take steps to keep their skills and knowledge up to date. As with all healthcare professionals, they should only work within their areas of competence.

**Consultation and options**

4. A wide range of interested parties throughout the UK were consulted in early 2005 on a variety of proposals for the expansion of extended formulary nurse prescribing and the introduction of prescribing by pharmacists. Detailed proposals were contained in MHRA/DH consultation letters MLX 320 and MLX 321. In summary, views were sought on:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nurses</th>
<th>Pharmacists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option A: no change - maintain the NPEF for specified medical conditions</td>
<td>Option 1: no change (ie, no independent prescribing by pharmacists)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option B: prescribing for any medical condition from a specific Formulary</td>
<td>Option 2: prescribing for certain conditions from a limited formulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option C: prescribing for specific medical conditions from a full Formulary</td>
<td>Option 3: prescribing for any condition from a limited formulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option D: prescribing for any medical condition from a full Formulary</td>
<td>Option 4: prescribing for specific conditions from a full formulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option E: advanced practice nurses with a higher level of competencies</td>
<td>Option 5: prescribing for any condition from a full formulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>Option 6: different approaches for the different clinical settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7: a hybrid approach (between hospital, community and primary care based pharmacists)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Over 700 replies were received. Responses to the consultations closed at the end of May. The results of consultation indicated that the majority of respondees, including both nurses’ and pharmacists’ representatives, felt that nurse prescribers and pharmacist prescribers should be able to prescribe any licensed medicine for any medical condition, where they are competent to do so. Doctors’ organisations were more reticent, suggesting much more limited change. A full summary of the outcome of the consultation has been placed on the MHRA’s website (www.mhra.gov.uk).

**Assessment of options following consultation**

6. The adoption of Option 1 (pharmacists) would not deliver any of the objectives outlined in paragraph 2 above. Options A and 2 would maintain the status quo for nurses, and introduce prescribing by pharmacists on the same basis, but this would restrict patients access to medicines and continue the practical difficulties in
ensuring that a formulary for two different professions remains current. Nor would it
make the best use of professional skills. Options B, C, 3 and 4 would introduce further
formularies whether for medicines or medical conditions. Options D and 5 would
enable qualified and accredited nurses and pharmacists to prescribe any licensed
medicine for any medical condition subject to individual clinical competence. Options
E, 6 and 7 would introduce advanced practitioner formularies with the same
difficulties in maintaining currency.

7. Options D and 5 were regarded as the most effective. This would enable safe
and effective practice which has advantages for both patients and healthcare staff (e.g.
timely access to treatment for patients and a potential reduction in waiting times;
maximising use of professional skills, and facilitating professional and career
development).

Costs for business, charities, voluntary organisations and frontline services
8. Options D and 5 will not create any obligatory compliance costs for
businesses. If independent healthcare sector organisations or community pharmacies
decide they wish to take the opportunity to introduce nurse or pharmacist prescribing,
they will have to pay to train and maintain the accreditation of individuals with the
relevant professional body. These costs will include fees payable for training courses
and in some cases, provision of locum cover. The cost of training to become a
prescriber is estimated at around £1,000 per trainee. The consultation sought
comments on likely costs but none were forthcoming. Where independent healthcare
sector organisations decide to embark on the training of nurses or pharmacists to
become independent prescribers we expect the long-term benefits to outweigh the
costs. Nurse and pharmacist prescribers also need to ensure that they keep their skills
up-to-date through Continuing Professional Development (CPD) but any costs
associated with this are unlikely to be significantly different from those incurred as
part of their professional role as nurses and pharmacist.

Other costs
9. There will be no costs for society or the environment.

Impact on small business
10. Implementation is voluntary; where independent healthcare sector
organisations decide to embark on the training of nurses or pharmacists to become
independent prescribers we expect the long-term benefits to outweigh the costs.

Equity and fairness
11. The Government wants to facilitate the continuing professional development
of nurses and pharmacists and to use their professional skills more fully. The
Government wants to ensure that patients, both in the NHS and in the independent
healthcare sector, are treated similarly, with better access to medicines, professional
skills and timely treatment.

Race equality issues
12. There are no specific race equality issues.

Rural issues
13. Expanding non-medical prescribing should improve access to medicines for
patients in rural areas.
Competition Assessment
14. This proposal was considered against the Office of Fair Trading’s competition Filter Test. The response to the majority of the questions was “no”. We therefore conclude that the proposal will have little or no effect on the independent healthcare market. The results clearly show that the proposal would have no adverse effects on competition within the health care market. The proposal introduces no incentives or disincentives.

Enforcement and Sanctions
15. The proposals will be implemented through amendments to the Prescription Only Medicines (Human Use) Order 1997 and the Medicines (Sale or Supply) (Miscellaneous Provisions) Regulations 1980 which provides exemptions from the Medicines Act restrictions on sale and supply of medicines. There will also be consequential amendments to the Medicines for Human Use (Marketing Authorisations Etc.) Regulations 1994, the Medicines (Child Safety) Regulations 2003 and the Medicines (Traditional Herbal Medicinal Products for Human Use) Regulations 2005. As these proposals are voluntary, sanction would only apply where an organisation had participated voluntarily and then failed to operate within medicines legislation or within proper professional conduct. The Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency is responsible for enforcing medicines legislation on behalf of the Secretary of State. The Nursing and Midwifery Council is responsible for matters of professional regulation for nurses. The Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain and the Pharmaceutical Society of Northern Ireland have responsibility for matters of professional regulation for pharmacists.

Declaration

I have read the Regulatory Impact Assessment and I am satisfied that the benefits justify the costs.

Signed: Jane Kennedy

Date: 23rd March 2006

Minister of State, Department of Health.

Contacts:
Anne Thyer  Paul Robinson (nurses) and Claire Potter (pharmacists)
Policy Division  Medicines, Pharmacy and Industry Group
MHRA  Department of Health
020 7273 0642  0113 2545695  0113 2545780
anne.thyer@mhra.gsi.gov.uk  Paul.G.Robinson@dh.gsi.gov.uk  Claire.potter@dh.gsi.gov.uk