These notes refer to the Equality Act 2010 (c.15) which received Royal Assent on 8 April 2010

# **EQUALITY ACT 2010**

# **EXPLANATORY NOTES**

## **COMMENTARY ON SECTIONS**

### **Part 1: Socio-Economic Inequalities**

#### Section 1: Public sector duty regarding socio-economic inequalities

#### Effect

- 23. This section requires specified public bodies, when making strategic decisions such as deciding priorities and setting objectives, to consider how their decisions might help to reduce the inequalities associated with socio-economic disadvantage. Such inequalities could include inequalities in education, health, housing, crime rates, or other matters associated with socio-economic disadvantage. It is for public bodies subject to the duty to determine which socio-economic inequalities they are in a position to influence.
- 24. The duty applies to the listed public bodies, which have strategic functions these include Government departments, local authorities and NHS bodies. In addition, the duty applies to other public bodies which work in partnership with a local authority to draw up the sustainable community strategy for an area, when they are drawing up that strategy. These partner public bodies are specified in the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.
- 25. Public bodies are required to take into account guidance issued by a Minister of the Crown when deciding how to fulfil the duty.
- 26. The duty does not require public bodies to consider how to reduce inequalities resulting from people being subject to immigration control.

#### Background

27. This is a new provision.

#### Examples

- The Department of Health decides to improve the provision of primary care services. They find evidence that people suffering socio-economic disadvantage are less likely to access such services during working hours, due to their conditions of employment. The Department therefore advises that such services should be available at other times of the day.
- Under the duty, a Regional Development Agency (RDA), when reviewing its funding programmes, could decide to amend the selection criteria for a programme designed to promote business development, to encourage more successful bids from deprived areas. The same RDA could also decide to continue a programme aimed at generating more jobs in the IT sector which, despite not contributing to a reduction in socio-economic inequalities, has wider economic benefits in attracting more well-paid jobs to the region. This decision would comply with the duty, because the RDA would have given due consideration to reducing socio-economic inequalities.

These notes refer to the Equality Act 2010 (c.15) which received Royal Assent on 8 April 2010

• The duty could lead a local education authority, when conducting a strategic review of its school applications process, to analyse the impact of its campaign to inform parents about the applications process, looking particularly at different neighbourhoods. If the results suggest that parents in more deprived areas are less likely to access or make use of the information provided, the authority could decide to carry out additional work in those neighbourhoods in future campaigns, to ensure that children from deprived areas have a better chance of securing a place at their school of choice.