Title:	ccoccmont	Regulat	ory Impact Asse	ssment (RIA)	
The Environmental Impact A (Agriculture) (Amendment) F		Date: 31	Date: 31 August 2017		
(Northern Ireland) 2017		Type of	pe of measure: Secondary Legislation		
Lead department or agency:		Stage: F	Final		
Department of Agriculture, Environ Affairs	nment and Rural	Source of	ce of intervention: European		
Other departments or agenc	ies:	Contact	details: Leanne McGrath		
None.		Leanne.Mc0		Grath@daera-ni.gov.uk	
		02890 524	02890 524623		
Summary Intervention and Options What is the problem under consideration? Why is government intervention necessary? (7 lines maximum) The EU has amended Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment (known as the EIA Directive). The amending Directive (2014/52/EU), forms part of European law and DAERA is responsible for transposition of the Directive in relation to agricultural projects. Therefore, the Department will transpose the Directive through The Environmental Impact Assessment (Agriculture) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2017. What are the policy objectives and the intended effects? (7 lines maximum) The amendment aims to strengthen the quality of the environmental impact assessment procedure and ensure that environmental protection is improved, resource efficiency increased and sustainable growth supported. The proposed amendment will introduce the minimum changes required by the EU Directive.					
What policy options have been considered, including any alternatives to regulation? Please justify preferred option (further details in Evidence Base) (10 lines maximum) The policy options considered were to either to do nothing or to transpose the amended Directive as appropriate.					
 The 'do nothing' option would have left the Department open to EU infraction procedures. Therefore, this option was ruled out. 					
 The preferred option, which has been carried forward, is to transpose Directive 2014/52/EU, by way of secondary legislation amending the Environmental Impact Assessment (Agriculture) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007 [SR 2007 No 421]. 					
Will the policy be reviewed? Yes If applicable, set review date: Ongoing					
Cost of Preferred (or more likely) Option					
Total outlay cost for business		Annual cost for	Annual cost for implementation by Regulator £m		
Does Implementation go beyond minimum EU requirements? NO X				YES 🗌	
Are any of these organisations in scope?	Micro Yes X No 🗌	Small Yes X No 🗌	Medium Yes X No □	Large Yes X No □	

The final RIA supporting legislation must be attached to the Explanatory Memorandum and published

Approved by: Dave Foster Date: 31/08/2017

Summary: Analysis and Evidence

Description: The Meeting of Legislative Requirements

ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT (Option 2)

Costs (£m)	Total Transitional (Policy)		Average Annual (recurring)	Total Cost
	(constant price)	Years	(excl. transitional) (constant price)	(Present Value)
Low	Optional		Optional	Optional
High	Optional		Optional	Optional
Best Estimate				

Description and scale of key monetised costs by 'main affected groups' Maximum 5 lines

Applicants (Landowners and Farmers): It is difficult to provide an exact cost to the applicants. The amendment requires the applicant to provide more information, including any existing environmental surveys, at the screening stage. In the event that an environmental statement is required, the applicant must hire a competent expert. DAERA, as administrator of the Regulations, must have sufficient resources and expertise to effectively implement the regulations.

Other key non-monetised costs by 'main affected groups' Maximum 5 lines

Directive 2014/52/EU is intended to lighten administrative burden, reinforce the quality of decision making, improve current levels of environmental protection, and introduce a more harmonised regulatory framework. It aims to help make decisions on public and private investments more sound, more predictable, and more sustainable in the longer term in relation to applications for projects which may have significant environmental effects.

Benefits (£m)	Total Transitional (Policy)		Average Annual (recurring)	Total Benefit	
	(constant price)	Years	(excl. transitional) (constant price)	(Present Value)	
Low	Optional		Optional	Optional	
High	Optional		Optional	Optional	
Best Estimate					

Description and scale of key monetised benefits by 'main affected groups' Maximum 5 lines

This is difficult to calculate as it is dependent upon the number, nature, scale and location of future proposals which may come within the scope of these Regulations. Based on EIA (Agriculture) applications received since 2012, four out of 34 (12%) screening decisions requested submission of an Environmental Statement. However, subsequently, no environmental statements were submitted. Therefore, it may be contended that any impact will be minimal

Other key non-monetised benefits by 'main affected groups' Maximum 5 lines

Clarity on the environmental information required for EIA development proposals and source of information from key consultees / stakeholders.

Key	Assumptions,	Sensitivities,	Risks	Maximum	5 lines
NA					

BUSINESS ASSESSMENT (Option)

2001112007100				
Direct Impact on business (Equivalent Annual) £m				
Costs:	Benefits:	Net:		_

Cross Border Issues (Option)

How does this option compare to other UK regions and to other EU Member States (particularly Republic of Ireland) Maximum 3 lines

The Directive will be similarly transposed in the rest of the UK and in the Republic of Ireland.

Evidence Base

Problem under consideration

Following a report on the application and effectiveness of Directive 2011/92/EU, and a wide public consultation, an amended EIA Directive (2014/52/EU) came into force on 15 May 2014. It is the responsibility of the Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA) to transpose this amending EIA Directive into Northern Ireland legislation in respect of agricultural projects.

Rationale for intervention

DAERA must transpose the Directive into national legislation to prevent the possibility of infraction proceedings and to ensure that the EIA process continues to operate effectively.

Policy objective

To transpose the EIA Directive 2014/52/EU.

Description of options considered (including do nothing), with reference to the evidence base to support the option selection

Directive 2014/52/EU was developed to strengthen the EIA process within Member States. The options in relation to this were to either do nothing or to transpose the Directive as appropriate. To do nothing was not a realistic option as the amended EIA Directive had to be transposed to avoid infraction proceedings. Therefore, the Department chose to transpose the Directive as appropriate.

Monetised and non-monetised costs and benefits of each option (including administrative burden)

As the amended EIA Directive streamlines established requirements in relation to EIA, it is considered by DAERA that it will not have any negative cost implications for businesses, and may reduce costs as necessary environmental information will be more readily available. The Department is of the opinion that the resources required to fully implement the amended EIA Directive would be similar to the resources required to fully implement the previous Directive (2011/92/EU), including administration of the procedure.

Rationale and evidence that justify the level of analysis used in the RIA (proportionality approach)

The amended EIA Directive has been developed to strengthen and enhance the EIA process and it is considered that no additional burden on resources or requirements will result. Therefore, the Department believes that the level of RIA analysis is appropriate.

Risks and assumptions

Risks would include infraction proceedings for non-transposition and implementation. It is assumed by DAERA that transposition of the amended EIA Directive will lead to a strengthening of the EIA process. Failure to transpose would mean that the benefits of improved streamlining will not be realised.

Direct costs and benefits to business

It is anticipated that the direct costs to business may decrease with the introduction of the amended EIA Directive as procedures are streamlined.

Wider impacts (in the context of other Impact Assessments in Policy Toolkit Workbook 4, economic assessment and NIGEAE)

There are no wider impacts.