

This document is meant purely as a documentation tool and the institutions do not assume any liability for its contents

► **B**

**COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 474/2006
of 22 March 2006**

**establishing the Community list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the
Community referred to in Chapter II of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 of the European
Parliament and of the Council**

(Text with EEA relevance)

(OJ L 84, 23.3.2006, p. 14)

Amended by:

		Official Journal		
		No	page	date
► <u>M1</u>	Commission Regulation (EC) No 910/2006 of 20 June 2006	L 168	16	21.6.2006
► <u>M2</u>	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1543/2006 of 12 October 2006	L 283	27	14.10.2006
► <u>M3</u>	Commission Regulation (EC) No 235/2007 of 5 March 2007	L 66	3	6.3.2007
► <u>M4</u>	Commission Regulation (EC) No 787/2007 of 4 July 2007	L 175	10	5.7.2007



COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 474/2006

of 22 March 2006

establishing the Community list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Community referred to in Chapter II of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 of the European Parliament and the Council of 14 December 2005 on the establishment of a Community list of air carriers subject to an operating ban within the Community and on informing air transport passengers of the identity of the operating air carrier, and repealing Article 9 of Directive 2004/36/CE ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 3 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Chapter II of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 (hereinafter referred to as ‘the basic Regulation’) lays down procedures for establishing the Community list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Community as well as procedures allowing the Member States, in certain circumstances, to adopt exceptional measures imposing operating bans within their territory.
- (2) In accordance with Article 3(3) of the basic Regulation, each Member State communicated to the Commission the identity of the air carriers that are subject to an operating ban in its territory, together with the reasons which led to the adoption of such bans and any other relevant information.
- (3) The Commission informed all air carriers concerned either directly or, when this was not practicable, through the authorities responsible for their regulatory oversight, indicating the essential facts and considerations which would form the basis for a decision to impose them an operating ban within the Community.
- (4) In accordance with Article 7 of the basic Regulation, opportunity was given by the Commission to the air carriers concerned to consult the documents provided by Member States, to submit written comments and to make an oral presentation to the Commission within 10 working days and to the Air Safety Committee ⁽²⁾.
- (5) The common criteria for consideration of an operating ban for safety reasons at Community level are set out in the Annex to the basic Regulation.

Air Bangladesh

- (6) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of Air Bangladesh with regard to a certain aircraft of its fleet.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 344, 27.12.2005, p. 15.

⁽²⁾ Established by Article 12 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 3922/91 of 16 December 1991 on the harmonization of technical requirements and administrative procedures in the field of civil aviation (OJ L 373, 31.12.1991, p. 4).

▼B

These deficiencies have been identified during ramp inspections performed by Germany under the SAFA programme ⁽¹⁾.

- (7) Air Bangladesh did not respond adequately and timely to an enquiry by the civil aviation authority of Germany regarding the safety aspect of its operation showing a lack of transparency or communication, as demonstrated by its lack of reply to correspondence from this Member State. To date Germany had no opportunity to verify whether the safety deficiencies have been corrected.
- (8) The authorities of Bangladesh with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Air Bangladesh have not exercised an adequate oversight on one specific aircraft used by this carrier in accordance with their obligations under the Chicago Convention.
- (9) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Air Bangladesh should be submitted to a strict operational restriction and included in Annex B.

Air Koryo

- (10) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of Air Koryo. These deficiencies have been identified by France and Germany, during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme ⁽²⁾.
- (11) Persistent failure by Air Koryo to address deficiencies previously communicated by France was identified during other ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme ⁽³⁾.
- (12) Substantiated and serious incident-related information communicated by France indicates latent systemic safety deficiencies on the part of Air Koryo.
- (13) Air Koryo demonstrated a lack of ability to address these safety deficiencies.
- (14) Air Koryo did not respond adequately and timely to an enquiry by the civil aviation authority of France regarding the safety aspect of its operation showing a lack of transparency or communication, as demonstrated by the absence of reply to a request by that Member State.
- (15) The corrective action plan presented by Air Koryo in response to France's request was not adequate and sufficient to correct the identified serious safety deficiencies.
- (16) The authorities of the Democratic People Republic of Korea with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Air Koryo have not exercised an adequate oversight on this carrier in accordance with their obligations under the Chicago Convention.
- (17) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Air Koryo does not meet the relevant safety standards.

Ariana Afghan Airlines

- (18) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of certain aircraft operated by Ariana Afghan Airlines. These deficiencies have been identified by Germany, during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme ⁽⁴⁾.

⁽¹⁾ LBA-D-2005-0003
LBA-D-2005-0004
LBA-D-2005-0004

⁽²⁾ DGAC/F 2000-210
No ref. for another SAFA inspection performed by Germany.

⁽³⁾ DGAC/F-2000-895

⁽⁴⁾ LBA-D-2004-269
LBA-D-2004-341
LBA-D-2004-374
LBA-D-2004-597

▼B

- (19) Ariana Afghan Airlines demonstrated a lack of ability to address these safety deficiencies.
- (20) Ariana Afghan Airlines did not respond adequately and timely to an enquiry by the civil aviation authority of Germany regarding the safety aspect of its operation showing a lack of communication, as demonstrated by the absence of adequate response to correspondence from this Member State.
- (21) The competent authorities of Afghanistan, where the aircraft used by Ariana Afghan Airlines is registered, have not exercised a fully adequate oversight of the aircraft used by this carrier in accordance with their obligations under the Chicago Convention.
- (22) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Ariana Afghan does not meet the relevant safety standards for all the aircraft it operates, with the exception of A310 registration number F-GYYY which is registered in France and subject to the oversight of the French authorities.

BGB Air

- (23) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of BGB Air. These deficiencies have been identified by Italy, during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme ⁽¹⁾.
- (24) BGB Air demonstrated a lack of ability or willingness to address safety deficiencies as demonstrated by the submission of a self-assessment with ICAO Standards on the basis of the Foreign Operator Check List provided by Italy, which was found not to be in conformity with the subsequent findings of SAFA inspections.
- (25) BGB Air did not respond adequately to an enquiry by the civil aviation authority of Italy, regarding the safety aspect of its operation showing a lack of transparency or communication, as demonstrated by the absence of reply to some correspondence sent by this Member State.
- (26) There is no evidence of the implementation of an adequate corrective action plan presented by BGB Air to correct the serious safety deficiencies in response to the request from Italy.
- (27) The authorities of Kazakhstan with responsibility for regulatory oversight of BGB Air did not fully cooperate with the civil aviation authority of Italy when concerns about the safety of the operation of BGB Air certified in that state were raised, as demonstrated by the absence of reply to the correspondence sent by this Member State.
- (28) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that BGB Air does not meet the relevant safety standards.

Buraq Air

- (29) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of Buraq Air concerning its cargo operations. These deficiencies have been identified by Sweden and the Netherlands, during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme ⁽²⁾.
- (30) Buraq Air did not respond adequately and timely to an enquiry by the civil aviation authority of Germany regarding the safety aspect of its Cargo operations showing a lack of transparency or communication, as demonstrated by a lack of response to correspondence from this Member State.

⁽¹⁾ ENAC-IT-2005-237

⁽²⁾ LfV-S-2004-2004-52
CAA-NL-2005-47

▼B

- (31) The authorities of Libya with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Buraq Air have not exercised an adequate oversight on the Cargo operations of this carrier in accordance with their obligations under the Chicago Convention.
- (32) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Buraq Air should be subject to strict operational restrictions and included in Annex B.

Air Service Comores

- (33) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of Air Service Comores. These deficiencies have been identified by a Member State, France, during a ramp inspection performed under the SAFA programme ⁽¹⁾.
- (34) There is no evidence of the implementation of an adequate corrective action plan presented by Air Service Comores to correct the identified serious safety deficiencies in response to the request from France.
- (35) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Air Service Comores have shown a lack of ability to address safety deficiencies.
- (36) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Comores did not cooperate in due time with the civil aviation authority of France when concerns about the safety of the operation of a carrier licensed or certified in that state were raised.
- (37) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Air Service Comores does not meet the relevant safety standards.

GST Aero Air Company

- (38) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of GST Aero Air Company. These deficiencies have been identified by Italy, during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme ⁽²⁾.
- (39) GST Aero Air Company demonstrated a lack of ability or willingness to address safety deficiencies.
- (40) GST Aero Air Company did not respond adequately and timely to an enquiry by the civil aviation authority of Italy regarding the safety aspect of its operation showing a lack of transparency or communication as demonstrated by the absence of reply to the correspondence sent by this Member State.
- (41) There is no evidence of the implementation of an adequate corrective action plan presented by GST Aero Air Company to correct the serious safety deficiencies in response to Italy's request.
- (42) The authorities of Kazakhstan with responsibility for regulatory oversight of GST Aero Air Company did not fully cooperate with the civil aviation authority of Italy when concerns about the safety of the operation of a carrier licensed or certified in that state were raised, as demonstrated by the limited reply to the correspondence sent by Italy.
- (43) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that GST Aero Air Company does not meet the relevant safety standards.

⁽¹⁾ DGAC/F-2005-1222

⁽²⁾ ENAC-IT-2005-170
ENAC-IT-2005-370

▼ B**Phoenix Aviation**

- (44) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Kirghizstan have shown an insufficient ability to implement and enforce the relevant safety standards with regard to Phoenix Aviation. While Phoenix Aviation's Air Operator's Certificate was issued by Kyrgyzstan, there is evidence showing s that the airline has its principal place of business in the United Arab Emirates (UAE), contrary to the requirements of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention. The US National Transportation Safety Board's Factual Report ⁽¹⁾ into an accident involving Kam Air flight 904, which was operated by Phoenix Aviation, states that Phoenix Aviation has its headquarters in the UAE.
- (45) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Phoenix Aviation does not meet the relevant safety standards.

Phuket Airlines

- (46) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of Phuket Airlines. These deficiencies have been identified by Member States, the United Kingdom and the Netherlands, during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme ⁽²⁾.
- (47) Phuket Airlines demonstrated a lack of ability to address timely and adequately these safety deficiencies.
- (48) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Thailand did not fully cooperate with the civil aviation authority of the Netherlands when concerns about the safety of Phuket Airlines certified in that state were raised as demonstrated by the lack of pertinent responses to the correspondence from this Member State.
- (49) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Phuket Airlines does not meet the relevant safety standards.

Reem Air

- (50) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of Reem Air. These deficiencies have been initially identified by the Netherlands, during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme ⁽³⁾.

⁽¹⁾ Factual Aviation Report, USA-National Transportation Safety Board, 2 March 2005, (NTSB ID: DCA05RA033).

⁽²⁾ CAA-UK-2005-40
CAA-UK-2005-41
CAA-UK-2005-42
CAA-UK-2005-46
CAA-UK-2005-47
CAA-UK-2005-48
CAA-NL-2005-49
CAA-NL-2005-51
CAA-NL-2005-54
CAA-NL-2005-55
CAA-NL-2005-56

⁽³⁾ CAA-NL-2005-119
CAA-NL-2005-122
CAA-NL-2005-128
CAA-NL-2005-171
CAA-NL-2005-176
CAA-NL-2005-177
CAA-NL-2005-191
CAA-NL-2005-195
CAA-NL-2005-196

▼B

- (51) Persistent failure by Reem Air to address deficiencies was confirmed by the Netherlands, during subsequent ramp inspections on one specific aircraft performed under the SAFA programme ⁽¹⁾.
- (52) Reem Air demonstrated a lack of ability or willingness to address safety deficiencies.
- (53) Reem Air did not respond adequately and timely to an enquiry by the civil aviation authority of the Netherlands regarding the safety aspect of its operation showing a lack of transparency or communication as demonstrated by the absence of reply to the correspondence sent by this Member State.
- (54) There is no evidence of the implementation of an adequate corrective action plan presented by Reem Air to correct the identified serious safety deficiencies in response to the request from the Netherlands.
- (55) The authorities of Kirghizstan with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Reem Air have not exercised an adequate oversight on this carrier in accordance with their obligations under the Chicago Convention, as demonstrated by the persistence of serious safety deficiencies. In addition, information provided to the Commission by Reem Air during the hearing granted to this company evidences that, while Reem Air Operator's Certificate was issued by Kyrgyzstan, this airline has its principal place of business in the United Arab Emirates (UAE), contrary to the requirements of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention.
- (56) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Reem Air does not meet the relevant safety standards.

Silverback Cargo Freighters

- (57) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of Silverback Cargo Freighters. These deficiencies have been identified by Belgium during a ramp inspection performed under the SAFA programme ⁽²⁾.
- (58) Silverback Cargo Freighters which equally assures the maintenance (A&B checks) of its own aircraft, did not respond adequately to an enquiry by the civil aviation authority of this Member State regarding the safety aspect of its operation showing a lack of transparency or communication as demonstrated by the lack of pertinent response to requests made by this Member State.
- (59) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Silverback Cargo Freighters does not meet the relevant safety standards.

Air carriers from the Democratic Republic of Congo

- (60) In spite of its efforts, the civil aviation authorities of the Democratic Republic of Congo ('DRC') have persistent difficulties to implement and enforce the relevant safety standards, as demonstrated by the ICAO-USOAP — Audit Summary Report of the Directorate of Civil Aviation of the Democratic Republic of Congo (Kinshasa, 11-18 June 2001). In particular, no system for the certification of Air Operators is currently in place.
- (61) The authorities of the DRC with responsibility for regulatory oversight have consequently shown a lack of ability to carry out adequate safety oversight.

⁽¹⁾ CAA-NL-2005-230
CAA-NL-2005-234
CAA-NL-2005-235

⁽²⁾ BCAA-2005-36

▼B

- (62) An operating ban is imposed on Central Air Express because of substantiated deficiencies related to international safety standards, and its lack of cooperation with a Member State.
- (63) Belgium ⁽¹⁾ and Hewa Bora Airways (HBA) have provided information showing that, in the case of HBA, the deficiencies observed in the past by the Belgian authorities have been significantly corrected with respect to certain aircraft. Belgium has further informed the Commission that it intends to conduct systematic ramp inspections of HBA. In view of this, it is considered that this air carrier should be allowed to continue its current operations.
- (64) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that all air carriers certified in the Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC) should be included in Annex A with the exception of Hewa Bora Airways (HBA) which should be included in Annex B.

Air carriers from Equatorial Guinea

- (65) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Equatorial Guinea did not fully cooperate with the civil aviation authority of the United Kingdom (UK) when concerns about the safety of the operation of carriers licensed or certified in that state were raised. The UK wrote to the Director General of Civil Aviation in Equatorial Guinea on 27 March 2002 ⁽²⁾ seeking clarification on the following points:

- a significant increase in the number of aircraft registered in Equatorial Guinea and suggestions that the Aircraft Registration Bureau (ARB) or a similar organisation might be managing the register,
- the fact that a number of operators holding an Air Operator Certificate (AOC) issued by Equatorial Guinea did not have their principal place of business in Equatorial Guinea.

The letter also advised the Director General of Civil Aviation that the UK would not be in a position to allow further commercial operations to its territory by Equatorial Guinea airlines until the UK authorities were satisfied that these airlines were receiving satisfactory oversight. Equatorial Guinea did not reply to this letter.

- (66) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Equatorial Guinea have shown an insufficient ability to implement and enforce the relevant safety standards, in particular as demonstrated by audits and related corrective action plans established under ICAO's Universal Safety Oversight Audit Programme. Such a USOAP audit of Equatorial Guinea took place in May 2001 whereby the audit report ⁽³⁾ indicated that the Civil Aviation Authority did not, at the time of the audit, have the ability to provide adequate oversight to its airlines and ensure that they operate in accordance with ICAO standards. These audit findings namely included:

- lack of an organisation capable of undertaking safety oversight activities, in particular a lack of specialised staff in the areas of licensing, aircraft operations or airworthiness,
- inability to identify the number of aircraft on the register or the number of valid certificates of airworthiness issued,

⁽¹⁾ SAFA ramp inspection performed by the Authorities of Belgium on March 11, 2006 in Brussels.

⁽²⁾ Correspondence between the UK Department of Transport and DGCA-Equatorial Guinea on the 'Aircraft Register of Equatorial Guinea' (27 March 2002).

⁽³⁾ ICAO-USOAP Summary Report — Audit of the Directorate of Civil Aviation of the Republic of Equatorial Guinea (Malabo, 14-18 May 2001).

▼B

- failure to establish a structured system for the certification and supervision of air operators,
- failure to adopt aeronautical operations regulations,
- failure to perform surveillance on authorised operators,
- failure to implement a system for performing the basic duties of an airworthiness inspection agency.

Furthermore the Directorate General of Civil Aviation of Equatorial Guinea has never up to date submitted to ICAO an action plan to address these audit findings ⁽¹⁾ and consequently an audit follow up mission has not taken place.

- (67) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Equatorial Guinea have shown an insufficient ability to implement and enforce the relevant safety standards in accordance with their obligations under the Chicago Convention. In fact, some holders of Air Operator Certificate (AOC) issued by Equatorial Guinea do not have their principal place of business in Equatorial Guinea, contrary to the requirements of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention ⁽²⁾.
- (68) The authorities of Equatorial Guinea with responsibility for regulatory oversight of the following air carriers have shown a lack of ability to carry out adequate safety oversight on these carriers: Air Consul SA, Avirex Guinée Equatoriale, COAGE — Compagnie Aeree de Guinée Equatorial, Ecuato Guineana de Aviación, Ecuatorial Cargo, GEASA — Guinea Ecuatorial Airlines SA, GETRA — Guinea Ecuatorial de Transportes Aéreos, Jetline Inc., King Transavia Cargo, Prompt Air GE SA, UTAGE — Unión de Transporte Aéreo de Guinea Ecuatorial.
- (69) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that all air carriers certified in Equatorial Guinea should be subject to an operating ban and included in Annex A.

Air carriers from Liberia

- (70) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of International Air Services certified in Liberia. These deficiencies have been identified by France, during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme ⁽³⁾.
- (71) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Liberia did not fully cooperate with the civil aviation authority of the United Kingdom (UK) when informed of serious safety deficiencies identified during a ramp inspection of a Liberian-registered aircraft carried out by the UK civil aviation authority on 5 March 1996 ⁽⁴⁾. Concerns about the safety of the operation of carriers licensed or certified in Liberia were promptly raised when on 12 March 1996 the Liberian DCA was advised by the UK civil aviation authority that all requests for permits for Liberian registered aircraft to operate commercial services to the UK would be refused until the Liberian authorities could demonstrate the existence of an effective regulatory system to ensure the airworthiness of aircraft on the Liberian register. No response was ever received from the Liberian authorities. Likewise, the Liberian authorities did not fully cooperate with the civil aviation authority of France by declining to reply when the latter Member State raised concerns about the safety of the operation of a carrier licensed or certified in Liberia.

⁽¹⁾ ICAO Council working paper C-WP/12471.

⁽²⁾ Correspondence between the UK Department of Transport and ECAC on the 'Issue of Aircraft Documentation by Non-Approved Companies' (6 August 2003).

⁽³⁾ DGAC/F-2004 Nos 315, 316

⁽⁴⁾ UK-CAA Regulation Group — Aircraft Survey Report, 5 March 1996 (Office code: 223).

▼B

- (72) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Liberia have shown an insufficient ability to implement and enforce the relevant safety standards. The Government of Liberia itself admitted in 1996⁽¹⁾ that it was unable to maintain regulatory control over Liberian registered aircraft because of the civil conflict. While the Comprehensive Peace Agreement was signed in 2003 and the UN and the National Transitional Government of Liberia are slowly putting in place measures to improve security, it is unlikely that the Government's ability to regulate its register has improved since 1996. ICAO has not yet carried out a USOAP audit of Liberia because of the security situation.
- (73) The authorities of Liberia with responsibility for regulatory oversight of the following air carriers have shown a lack of ability to carry out adequate safety oversight on these carriers: International Air Services Inc., Satgur Air Transport Corp., Weasua Air Transport Co. Ltd.
- (74) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that all air carriers certified in Liberia should be subject to an operating ban and included in Annex A.

Air carriers from Sierra Leone

- (75) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of Air Universal Ltd. These deficiencies have been identified by Sweden during a ramp inspection performed under the SAFA programme⁽²⁾.
- (76) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Sierra Leone did not fully cooperate with the civil aviation authority of Sweden when concerns about the safety of the operation of Air Universal Ltd. certified in that state were raised, as demonstrated by the lack of response to the correspondence from this Member State.
- (77) The operating authorisation or technical permission of any carrier under the oversight of Sierra Leone has previously been refused or revoked by the United Kingdom.
- (78) While the Air Operator's Certificate of Air Universal Ltd was issued by Sierra Leone, evidence shows that the airline has currently its principal place of business in Jordan, contrary to the requirements of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention.
- (79) The authorities of Sierra Leone with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Air Universal Ltd have not exercised an adequate oversight on this carrier in accordance with their obligations under the Chicago Convention.
- (80) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that Air Universal Ltd. does not meet the relevant safety standards.
- (81) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of air carriers certified in Sierra Leone. These deficiencies have been identified by three Member States, the UK, Malta and Sweden, during ramp inspections performed under the SAFA programme⁽³⁾.

⁽¹⁾ Correspondence between the Transport Ministry of Liberia and UK DGCA on the 'inability, due to the Liberian Civil conflict, to maintain regulatory control over Liberian registered aircraft', 28 August 1996.

⁽²⁾ LFV-S-04-0037

⁽³⁾ CAA-UK-2003-103
CAA-UK-2003-111
CAA-UK-2003-136
CAA-UK-2003-198
CAA-MA-2003-4
LFV-S-2004-37

▼B

- (82) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Sierra Leone did not fully cooperate with the civil aviation authorities of Sweden and of Malta when concerns about the safety of the operation of Air Universal Ltd certified in that state were raised as demonstrated by the lack of response to the correspondence from this Member State.
- (83) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Sierra Leone have shown an insufficient ability to implement and enforce the relevant safety standards in accordance with their obligations under the Chicago Convention. Sierra Leone lacks an appropriate system in place to oversee its operators or the aircraft, and does not have the technical capability or resources to undertake such a task. Some holders of Air Operator Certificate (AOC) issued by Sierra Leone did not have their principal place of business in Sierra Leone, contrary to the requirements of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention.
- (84) The corrective action plan presented by Sierra Leone is considered inappropriate (or insufficient) to correct the identified serious safety deficiencies. The Civil Aviation Authority of Sierra Leone has contracted a private company, International Aviation Surveyors (IAS), to conduct certain oversight activities on its behalf. However, the arrangements entered into between the two parties in a Memorandum of Understanding⁽¹⁾ do not provide an adequate oversight system for aircraft on the Sierra Leone register. In particular:
- The aircraft/airlines covered by the MoU were not based in Sierra Leone and the IAS personnel were based in neither Sierra Leone nor the country in which the airlines were based.
 - IAS did not appear to have any enforcement powers.
 - IAS assumed responsibility for routine inspections of the airlines concerned but the level of inspection activity was not specified.
 - The MoU gave IAS a contractual relationship with the airlines concerned.
 - The MoU did not appear to adequately address the supervision of flight operations.
- (85) The authorities of Sierra Leone with responsibility for regulatory oversight of the following air carriers have shown a lack of ability to carry out adequate safety oversight on these carriers: Aerolift Co. Ltd, Afrik Air Links, Air Leone Ltd, Air Rum Ltd, Air Salone Ltd, Air Universal Ltd, Destiny Air Services Ltd, First Line Air (SL) Ltd, Heavylift Cargo, Paramount Airlines Ltd, Star Air Ltd, Teebah Airways, West Coast Airways Ltd.
- (86) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that all air carriers certified in Sierra Leone should be subject to an operating ban and included in Annex A.

Air carriers from Swaziland

- (87) There is verified evidence of serious safety deficiencies on the part of Jet Africa, an air carrier certified in Swaziland. These deficiencies have been identified by the Netherlands during a ramp inspection performed under the SAFA programme⁽²⁾.
- (88) Jet Africa did not respond adequately and timely to an enquiry by the civil aviation authority of the Netherlands regarding the safety

⁽¹⁾ Memorandum of Understanding between DCA Sierra Leone and 'FAST International Aviation Surveyors on the inspection, surveillance and provision of regulatory services to extra-regional air operators' (IAS/SL DCA MOA 201101).

⁽²⁾ CAA/NL-2004-98

▼B

aspect of its operation showing a lack of transparency or communication as demonstrated by the absence of reply to the correspondence sent by this Member State.

- (89) There is no evidence of a corrective action plan presented by Jet Africa to correct the serious safety deficiencies in response to the Netherlands request.
- (90) The authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Swaziland have shown an insufficient ability to implement and enforce the relevant safety standards, in particular as demonstrated by a USOAP audit which took place in March 1999. The audit report⁽¹⁾ concluded that at the time of the audit, Swaziland was not capable of satisfactorily undertaking safety oversight related responsibilities in respect of its airlines and aircraft register. It also noted that it was not possible to determine the actual number of aircraft on the register as it was not properly maintained. Neither was it possible for the audit team to determine the actual number of personnel licences issued by Swaziland that were still valid, as records were not being maintained. A USOAP audit follow up mission has not taken place because Swaziland has not provided ICAO with information on the progress it has made in implementing the action plan to address the audit findings.
- (91) The authorities of Swaziland with responsibility for regulatory oversight of the following air carriers have shown a lack of ability to carry out adequate safety oversight on these carriers: Aero Africa (Pty) Ltd, African International Airways (Pty) Ltd, Airlink Swaziland Ltd, Northeast Airlines (Pty) Ltd, Scan Air Charter Ltd, Swazi Express Airways, Jet Africa.
- (92) Therefore, on the basis of the common criteria, it is assessed that all air carriers certified in Swaziland should be subject to an operating ban and included in Annex A.

General considerations concerning the carriers included in the list

- (93) Since it would not compromise safety, all air carriers mentioned above can be permitted to exercise traffic rights by using wet-leased aircraft of an air carrier which is not subject to an operating ban, provided that the relevant safety standards are complied with.
- (94) The Community list has to be updated regularly and as soon as it is required, in order to take into account the evolution of safety in relation to the air carriers concerned and on the basis of further evidence of remedial actions undertaken.

Air carriers not included in the list

- (95) In light of the evidence provided by Tuninter and the authorities of Tunisia with responsibility for its regulatory oversight and further confirmation by Italy, it is considered that there is substantiated evidence that the safety deficiencies observed during two on-site inspections by the Italian authorities have been corrected by this carrier.
- (96) On the basis of the information provided by Germany, it is considered that there is no longer substantiated evidence of a lack of ability or willingness of the authorities of Tajikistan with responsibility for regulatory oversight of air carriers certified in this State.
- (97) On the basis of the information provided to Belgium showing that the deficiencies which led to a national ban on I.C.T.T.P.W.

⁽¹⁾ ICAO-USOAP Summary Report — Audit of the Directorate of Civil Aviation of Swaziland, (Mbabane, 9-12 March 1999).

▼B

and South Airlines have been fully remedied, it is considered that there is no substantiated evidence of persisting serious safety deficiencies on the part of these air carriers.

- (98) On the basis of the information provided by Germany showing that the specific aircraft which led to the imposition of a operational restriction on Atlant Soyuz is no more part of its fleet, it is considered that there is no substantiated evidence of persisting serious safety deficiencies on the part of this air carrier.
- (99) On the basis of the information available at this stage, it is considered that there is no substantiated evidence of non-corrected serious safety deficiencies on the part of Air Mauritanie. Nevertheless, the ability of the authorities of Mauritania with responsibility for regulatory oversight of this air carrier needs to be further assessed. To this end, an evaluation of the authorities of Mauritania with responsibility for regulatory oversight of this air carrier and the undertakings under its responsibility should be conducted within 2 months by the Commission with the assistance of the authorities of any interested Member States.
- (100) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Air Safety Committee,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Subject matter

This Regulation establishes the Community list of air carriers which are subject to an operating ban within the Community referred to in Chapter II of the basic Regulation.

Article 2

Operating bans

1. The air carriers listed in Annex A are subject to a ban within the Community for all their operations.
2. The air carriers listed in Annex B are subject to operational restrictions within the Community. The operational restrictions consist of a prohibition on the use of the specific aircraft or specific aircraft types mentioned in Annex B.

Article 3

Enforcement

Member States shall inform the Commission of any measures taken under Articles 3(1) of the basic Regulation to enforce, within their territory, the operating bans included in the Community list in respect of the air carriers that are the subject of those bans.

Article 4

Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the first day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

▼M4

ANNEX A

LIST OF AIR CARRIERS OF WHICH ALL OPERATIONS ARE SUBJECT TO A BAN WITHIN THE COMMUNITY ⁽¹⁾

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate (AOC) Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
AIR KORYO	Unknown	KOR	Democratic People's Republic of Korea (DPRK)
AIR WEST CO. LTD	004/A	AWZ	Sudan
ARIANA AFGHAN AIRLINES	009	AFG	Afghanistan
BLUE WING AIRLINES	SRSH-01/2002	BWI	Surinam
SILVERBACK CARGO FREIGHTERS	Unknown	VRB	Rwanda
TAAG ANGOLA AIRLINES	001	DTA	Angola
VOLARE AVIATION ENTREPRISE	143	VRE	Ukraine
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC), with the exception of Hewa Bora Airways ⁽²⁾, including,		—	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
AFRICA ONE	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0114/2006	CFR	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
AFRICAN AIR SERVICES COMMUTER SPRL	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0005/2007	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
AIGLE AVIATION	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0042/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
AIR BENI	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0019/2005	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
AIR BOYOMA	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0049/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
AIR INFINI	409/CAB/MIN/TC/006/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
AIR KASAI	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0118/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
AIR NAVETTE	409/CAB/MIN/TC/015/2005	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
AIR TROPIQUES S.P.R.L.	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0107/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
BEL GLOB AIRLINES	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0073/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
BLUE AIRLINES	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0109/2006	BUL	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
BRAVO AIR CONGO	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0090/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
BUSINESS AVIATION S.P.R.L.	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0117/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)

▼M4

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate (AOC) Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
BUTEMBO AIRLINES	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0056/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
CARGO BULL AVIATION	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0106/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
CETRACA AVIATION SERVICE	409/CAB/MIN/TC/037/2005	CER	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
CHC STELLAVIA	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0050/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
COMAIR	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0057/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
COMPAGNIE AFRICAINE D'AVIATION (CAA)	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0111/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
DOREN AIR CONGO	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0054/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
EL SAM AIRLIFT	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0002/2007	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
ESPACE AVIATION SERVICE	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0003/2007	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
FILAIR	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0008/2007	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
FREE AIRLINES	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0047/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
GALAXY INCORPORATION	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0078/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
GOMA EXPRESS	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0051/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
GOMAIR	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0023/2005	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
GREAT LAKE BUSINESS COMPANY	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0048/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
I.T.A.B. — INTERNATIONAL TRANS AIR BUSINESS	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0022/2005	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
KATANGA AIRWAYS	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0088/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
KIVU AIR	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0044/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
LIGNES AÉRIENNES CONGOLAISES	Ministerial signature (ordonnance 78/205)	LCG	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
MALU AVIATION	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0113/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
MALILA AIRLIFT	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0112/2006	MLC	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
MANGO AIRLINES	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0007/2007	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)

▼M4

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate (AOC) Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
PIVA AIRLINES	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0001/2007	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
RWAKABIKA BUSHI EXPRESS	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0052/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
SAFARI LOGISTICS SPRL	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0076/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
SAFE AIR COMPANY	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0004/2007	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
SERVICES AIR	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0115/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
SUN AIR SERVICES	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0077/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
TEMBO AIR SERVICES	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0089/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
THOM'S AIRWAYS	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0009/2007	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
TMK AIR COMMUTER	409/CAB/MIN/TC/020/2005	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
TRACEP CONGO	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0055/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
TRANS AIR CARGO SERVICE	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0110/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
TRANSPORTS AERIENS CONGOLAIS (TRACO)	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0105/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
VIRUNGA AIR CHARTER	409/CAB/MIN/TC/018/2005	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
WIMBI DIRA AIRWAYS	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0116/2006	WDA	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
ZAABU INTERNATIONAL	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0046/2006	Unknown	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Equatorial Guinea, including,			Equatorial Guinea
EUROGUINEANA DE AVIACION Y TRANSPORTES	2006/001/MTTCT/DGAC/SOPS	EUG	Equatorial Guinea
GENERAL WORK AVIACION	002/ANAC	n/a	Equatorial Guinea
GETRA — GUINEA ECUATORIAL DE TRANSPORTES AEREOS	739	GET	Equatorial Guinea
GUINEA AIRWAYS	738	n/a	Equatorial Guinea
UTAGE — UNION DE TRANSPORT AEREO DE GUINEA ECUATORIAL	737	UTG	Equatorial Guinea

▼ **M4**

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate (AOC) Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Indonesia, including,			Indonesia
ADAMSKY CONNECTION AIRLINES	unknown	DHI	Indonesia
AIR TRANSPORT SERVICES	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
BALAI KALIBRASI PENERBANGAN	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
EKSPRES TRANSPORTASI ANTARBENUA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
GARUDA	unknown	GIA	Indonesia
INDONESIA AIRASIA	unknown	AWQ	Indonesia
KARTIKA AIRLINES	unknown	KAE	Indonesia
LION MENTARI AIRLINES	unknown	LNI	Indonesia
MANDALA AIRLINES	unknown	MDL	Indonesia
MANUNGAL AIR SERVICE	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
MEGANTARA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
MERPATI NUSANTARA AIRLINES	unknown	MNA	Indonesia
METRO BATAVIA	unknown	BTV	Indonesia
PELITA AIR SERVICE	unknown	PAS	Indonesia
PT. AIR PACIFIC UTAMA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. AIRFAST INDONESIA	unknown	AFE	Indonesia
PT. ASCO NUSA AIR	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. ASI PUDJIASTUTI	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. AVIASTAR MANDIRI	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. ATLAS DELTASATYA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. DABI AIR NUSANTARA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. DERAYA AIR TAXI	unknown	DRY	Indonesia
PT. DERAZONA AIR SERVICE	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. DIRGANTARA AIR SERVICE	unknown	DIR	Indonesia
PT. EASTINDO	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. EKSPRES TRANSPORTASI ANTARBENUA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. GATARI AIR SERVICE	unknown	GHS	Indonesia
PT. GERMANIA TRISILA AIR	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. HELIZONA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. KURA-KURA AVIATION	unknown	unknown	Indonesia

▼M4

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate (AOC) Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
PT. INDONESIA AIR TRANSPORT	unknown	IDA	Indonesia
PT. INTAN ANGKASA AIR SERVICE	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. NATIONAL UTILITY HELICOPTER	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. PELITA AIR SERVICE	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. PENERBENGAN ANGKASA SEMESTA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. PURA WISATA BARUNA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. SAMPOERNA AIR NUSANTARA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. SAYAP GARUDA INDAH	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. SMAC	unknown	SMC	Indonesia
PT. TRANSWISATA PRIMA AVIATION	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. TRAVIRA UTAMA	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
PT. TRIGANA AIR SERVICE	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
REPUBLIC EXPRESS AIRLINES	unknown	RPH	Indonesia
RIAU AIRLINES	unknown	RIU	Indonesia
SRIWIJAYA AIR	unknown	SJY	Indonesia
SURVEI UDARA PENAS	unknown	PNS	Indonesia
TRANS WISATA PRIMA AVIATION	unknown	unknown	Indonesia
TRAVEL EXPRESS AVIATION SERVICE	unknown	XAR	Indonesia
TRI MG INTRA ASIA AIRLINES	unknown	TMG	Indonesia
TRIGANA AIR SERVICE	unknown	TGN	Indonesia
WING ABADI AIRLINES	unknown	WON	Indonesia
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of the Kyrgyz Republic, including,		—	Kyrgyz Republic
AIR CENTRAL ASIA	34	AAT	Kyrgyz Republic
AIR MANAS	17	MBB	Kyrgyz Republic
ASIA ALPHA AIRWAYS	32	SAL	Kyrgyz Republic
AVIA TRAFFIC COMPANY	23	AVJ	Kyrgyz Republic
BISTAIR-FEZ BISHKEK	08	BSC	Kyrgyz Republic
BOTIR AVIA	10	BTR	Kyrgyz Republic
CLICK AIRWAYS	11	CGK	Kyrgyz Republic
DAMES	20	DAM	Kyrgyz Republic

▼M4

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate (AOC) Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
EASTOK AVIA	15	Unknown	Kyrgyz Republic
ESEN AIR	2	ESD	Kyrgyz Republic
GALAXY AIR	12	GAL	Kyrgyz Republic
GOLDEN RULE AIRLINES	22	GRS	Kyrgyz Republic
INTAL AVIA	27	INL	Kyrgyz Republic
ITEK AIR	04	IKA	Kyrgyz Republic
KYRGYZ TRANS AVIA	31	KTC	Kyrgyz Republic
KYRGYZSTAN	03	LYN	Kyrgyz Republic
KYRGYZSTAN AIRLINES	01	KGA	Kyrgyz Republic
MAX AVIA	33	MAI	Kyrgyz Republic
OHS AVIA	09	OSH	Kyrgyz Republic
S GROUP AVIATION	6	Unknown	Kyrgyz Republic
SKY GATE INTERNATIONAL AVIATION	14	SGD	Kyrgyz Republic
SKY WAY AIR	21	SAB	Kyrgyz Republic
TENIR AIRLINES	26	TEB	Kyrgyz Republic
TRAST AERO	05	TSJ	Kyrgyz Republic
WORLD WING AVIATION	35	WWM	Kyrgyz Republic
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Liberia		—	Liberia
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Sierra Leone, including,	—	—	Sierra Leone
AIR RUM, LTD	Unknown	RUM	Sierra Leone
BELLVIEW AIRLINES (S/L) LTD	Unknown	BVU	Sierra Leone
DESTINY AIR SERVICES, LTD	Unknown	DTY	Sierra Leone
HEAVYLIFT CARGO	Unknown	Unknown	Sierra Leone
ORANGE AIR SIERRA LEONE LTD	Unknown	ORJ	Sierra Leone
PARAMOUNT AIRLINES, LTD	Unknown	PRR	Sierra Leone
SEVEN FOUR EIGHT AIR SERVICES LTD	Unknown	SVT	Sierra Leone
TEEBAH AIRWAYS	Unknown	Unknown	Sierra Leone
All air carriers certified by the authorities with responsibility for regulatory oversight of Swaziland, including,	—	—	Swaziland
AERO AFRICA (PTY) LTD	Unknown	RFC	Swaziland

▼ **M4**

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate (AOC) Number or Operating Licence Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator
JET AFRICA SWAZILAND	Unknown	OSW	Swaziland
ROYAL SWAZI NATIONAL AIRWAYS CORPORATION	Unknown	RSN	Swaziland
SCAN AIR CHARTER, LTD	Unknown	Unknown	Swaziland
SWAZI EXPRESS AIRWAYS	Unknown	SWX	Swaziland
SWAZILAND AIRLINK	Unknown	SZL	Swaziland

(1) Air carriers listed in Annex A could be permitted to exercise traffic rights by using wetleased aircraft of an air carrier which is not subject to an operating ban, provided that the relevant safety standards are complied with.

(2) Hewa Bora Airways is allowed to use the specific aircraft mentioned in Annex B for its current operations within the European Community.

▼M4

ANNEX B

LIST OF AIR CARRIERS OF WHICH OPERATIONS ARE SUBJECT TO OPERATIONAL RESTRICTIONS WITHIN THE COMMUNITY ⁽¹⁾

Name of the legal entity of the air carrier as indicated on its AOC (and its trading name, if different)	Air Operator Certificate (AOC) Number	ICAO airline designation number	State of the Operator	Aircraft type	Registration mark(s) and, when available, construction serial number (s)	State of registry
AIR BANGLADESH	17	BGD	Bangladesh	B747-269B	S2-ADT	Bangladesh
AIR SERVICE COMORES	06-819/TA-15/DGACM	KMD	Comoros	All fleet with the exception of: LET 410 UVP	All fleet with the exception of: D6-CAM (851336)	Comoros
HEWA BORA AIRWAYS (HBA) ⁽²⁾	409/CAB/MIN/TC/0108/2006	ALX	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)	All fleet with the exception of: B767-266 ER	All fleet with the exception of: 9Q-CJD (cons. No 23178)	Democratic Republic of Congo (RDC)
PAKISTAN INTERNATIONAL AIRLINES	003/96 AL	PIA	Islamic Republic of Pakistan	All fleet with the exception of: all B-777; 3 B-747-300; 2 B-747-200. 6 A-310	All fleet with the exception of: AP-BHV, AP-BHW, AP-BGJ, AP-BGK, AP-BGL, AP-BGY, AP-BGZ; AP-BFU, AP-BGG, AP-BFX, AP-BAK, AP-BAT, AP-BEU, AP-BGP, AP-BGR, AP-BGN, AP-BEC, AP-BEG	Islamic Republic of Pakistan

⁽¹⁾ Air carriers listed in Annex B could be permitted to exercise traffic rights by using wetleased aircraft of an air carrier which is not subject to an operating ban, provided that the relevant safety standards are complied with.

⁽²⁾ Hewa Bora Airways is only allowed to use the specific aircraft mentioned for its current operations within the European Community.