This document is meant purely as a documentation tool and the institutions do not assume any liability for its contents

# ► B COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2002/26/EC of 13 March 2002 laying down the sampling methods and the methods of analysis for the official control of the levels of ochratoxin A in foodstuffs

(Text with EEA relevance)

(OJ L 75, 16.3.2002, p. 38)

Amended by:

	Official Journal			
	No	page	date	
▶ <u>M1</u> Commission Directive 2004/43/EC of 13 April 2004	L 113	14	20.4.2004	

# **COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2002/26/EC**

# of 13 March 2002

# laying down the sampling methods and the methods of analysis for the official control of the levels of ochratoxin A in foodstuffs

### (Text with EEA relevance)

### THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 315/93 of 8 February 1993 laying down Community procedures for contaminants in food (<sup>1</sup>), and in particular Article 2 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 85/591/EEC of 20 December 1985 concerning the introduction of Community methods of sampling and analysis for the monitoring of foodstuffs intended for human consumption (<sup>2</sup>), and in particular Article 1 thereof,

Whereas:

- Commission Regulation (EC) No 466/2001 of 8 March 2001 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs (<sup>3</sup>), as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 472/2002 (<sup>4</sup>), fixes maximum limits for ochratoxin A in certain foodstuffs.
- (2) Council Directive 93/99/EEC of 29 October 1993 on the subject of additional measures concerning the official control of foodstuffs (<sup>5</sup>) introduces a system of quality standards for laboratories entrusted by the Member States with the official control of foodstuffs.
- (3) Sampling plays a crucial part in the precision of the determination of the levels of ochratoxin A, which are very heterogeneously distributed in a lot.
- (4) It seems necessary to fix general criteria, which the method of analysis has to comply with in order to ensure that laboratories, in charge of the control, use methods of analysis with comparable levels of performance.
- (5) The provisions for the sampling and methods of analysis have been drawn up on the basis of present knowledge and they may be adapted to take account of advances in scientific and technological knowledge.
- (6) The measures provided for in this Directive are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

# Article 1

The Member States shall take all measures necessary to ensure that the sampling for the official control of the levels of ochratoxin A in food-stuffs is carried out in accordance with the methods described in Annex I to this Directive.

# Article 2

The Member States shall take all measures necessary to ensure that sample preparation and methods of analyses used for the official

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) OJ L 37, 13.2.1993, p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> OJ L 372, 31.12.1985, p. 50.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>) OJ L 77, 16.3.2001, p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(4)</sup> See page 18 of this Official Journal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(5)</sup> OJ L 290, 24.11.1993, p. 14.

control of the levels of ochratoxin A in foodstuffs comply with the criteria described in Annex II to this Directive.

# Article 3

Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by 28 February 2003 at the latest. They shall forthwith inform the Commission thereof.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

# Article 4

This Directive shall enter into force on the 20th day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Communities*.

## Article 5

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

### ANNEX I

# METHODS OF SAMPLING FOR OFFICIAL CONTROL OF THE LEVELS OF OCHRATOXIN A IN CERTAIN FOODSTUFFS

### 1. Purpose and scope

Samples intended for official checking of the levels of ochratoxin A content in foodstuffs shall be taken according to the methods described below. Aggregate samples thus obtained shall be considered as representative of the lots. Compliance with maximum limits laid down in Regulation (EC) No 466/2001 shall be established on the basis of the levels determined in the laboratory samples.

### 2. Definitions

Lot:	an identifiable quantity of a food commodity deliv- ered at one time and determined by the official to have common characteristics, such as origin, variety, type of packing, packer, consignor or markings
Sublot:	designated part of a lot in order to apply the sampling method on that designated part. Each sublot must be physically separate and identifiable
Incremental sample:	a quantity of material taken from a single place in the lot or sublot
Aggregate sample:	the combined total of all the incremental samples taken from the lot or sublot.

### 3. General provisions

#### 3.1. Personnel

Sampling shall be performed by an authorised person as specified by the Member States.

### 3.2. Material to be sampled

Each lot which is to be examined must be sampled separately. In accordance with the specific provisions of this Annex, large lots should be subdivided into sublots to be sampled separately.

### 3.3. Precautions to be taken

In the course of sampling and preparation of the samples precautions must be taken to avoid any changes which would affect the ochratoxin A content, adversely affect the analytical determination or make the aggregate samples unrepresentative.

### 3.4. Incremental samples

As far as possible incremental samples should be taken at various places distributed throughout the lot or sublot. Departure from this procedure must be recorded in the record.

### 3.5. Preparation of the aggregate sample

The aggregate sample is made up by uniting the incremental samples.

3.6. Replicate samples

The replicate samples for enforcement, trade (defence) and referee purposes are to be taken from the homogenised sample, unless this conflicts with Member States' rules on sampling.

### 3.7. Packaging and transmission of samples

Each sample shall be placed in a clean, inert container offering adequate protection from contamination and against damage in transit. All necessary precautions shall be taken to avoid any change in composition of the sample, which might arise during transportation or storage.

### 3.8. Sealing and labelling of samples

Each sample taken for official use shall be sealed at the place of sampling and identified following the Member State's regulations.

A record must be kept of each sampling, permitting each lot to be identified unambiguously and giving the date and place of sampling together with any additional information likely to be of assistance to the analyst.

### 4. Specific provisions

### 4.1. Different types of lots

Food commodities may be traded in bulk, containers, or individual packings (sacks, bags, retail packings, etc.). The sampling procedure can be applied to all the different forms in which the commodities are put on the market.

Without prejudice to the specific provisions as laid down in points 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 of this Annex, the following formula can be used as a guide for the sampling of lots traded in individual packings (sacks, bags, retail packings, etc.):

Sampling frequency (SF)

- Weight: in kg

 Sampling Frequency (SF): every nth sack or bag from which an incremental sample must be taken (decimal figures should be rounded to the nearest whole number).

4.2. Weight of the aggregate sample

The weight of the incremental sample should be about 100 grams, unless otherwise defined in this Annex. In the case of lots in retail packings, the weight of the incremental sample depends on the weight of the retail packing.

#### 4.3. General survey of the sampling procedure for cereals and dried vine fruit

Table 1: Subdivision of lots into sublots depend	ling on product and lot weight
--------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------

Commodity	Lot weight (tonnes)	Weight or number of sublots	Number of incre- mental samples	Aggregate sample Weight (kg)		
Cereals and cereal	≥ 1 500	500 tonnes	100	10		
products	> 300 and < 1 500			10		
	$\geq$ 50 and $\leq$ 300	100 tonnes	100	10		
	< 50	_	10-100 ( <sup>1</sup> )	1-10		
Dried vine fruit (currants,	≥ 15	15-30 tonnes	100	10		
raisins and sultanas)	< 15	—	10-100 ( <sup>2</sup> )	1-10		
( <sup>1</sup> ) Depending on the lot weight — see Table 2 of this Annex.						

(2) Depending on the lot weight — see Table 2 of this Annex.
(2) Depending on the lot weight — see Table 3 of this Annex.

# 4.4. Sampling procedure for cereals and cereal products (lots $\geq$ 50 tonnes) and dried vine fruit (lots $\geq$ 15 tonnes)

- On condition that the sublot can be separated physically, each lot must be subdivided into sublots following Table 1. Taking into account that the weight of the lot is not always an exact multiple of the weight of the sublots, the weight of the sublot may exceed the mentioned weight by a maximum of 20 %.
- Each sublot must be sampled separately.
- Number of incremental samples: 100. In the case of lots of cereals under 50 tonnes and lots of dried vine fruit under 15 tonnes, see point 4.5. Weight of the aggregate sample = 10 kg.

- If it is not possible to carry out the method of sampling described above because of the commercial consequences resulting from damage to the lot (because of packaging forms, means of transport, etc.) an alternative method of sampling may be applied provided that it is as representative as possible and is fully described and documented.
- 4.5. Sampling provisions for cereals and cereal products (lots < 50 tonnes) and for dried vine fruit (lots < 15 tonnes)

For cereal lots under 50 tonnes and for dried vine fruit lots under 15 tonnes, the sampling plan has to be used with 10 to 100 incremental samples, depending on the lot weight, resulting in an aggregate sample of 1 to 10 kg.

The figures in the following table can be used to determine the number of incremental samples to be taken.

Table 2: Number of incremental samples to be taken depending on the weight of the lot of cereals

Lot weight (tonnes)	Number of incremental samples
≤ 1	10
> 1 - ≤ 3	20
> 3 - ≤ 10	40
> 10 - ≤ 20	60
$> 20 - \le 50$	100

Table	3:	Number	of	incremental	sampl	les	to	be	taken	dependi	ng	on	the
				weight of	f the lo	ot o	f dı	ried	vine	fruit			

Lot weight (tonnes)	Number of incremental samples
≤ 0,1	10
$> 0,1 - \leq 0,2$	15
$> 0,2 - \le 0,5$	20
$> 0,5 - \le 1,0$	30
$> 1,0 - \leq 2,0$	40
$> 2,0 - \leq 5,0$	60
$> 5,0 - \leq 10,0$	80
> 10,0 - ≤ 15,0	100

# ▼<u>M1</u>

### 4.6. Sampling procedure for foods intended for infants and young children

The sampling procedure as mentioned for cereals and cereal products in point 4.5 of this Annex applies. This means that the number of incremental samples to be taken depends on the weight of the lot, with a minimum of 10 and a maximum of 100, in accordance with Table 2 at point 4.5.

- The weight of the incremental sample should be about 100 grams. In case of lots in retail packing, the weight of the incremental sample depends on the weight of the retail packing.
- Weight of aggregate sampling = 1 to 10 kg sufficiently mixed.

## 4.7. Sampling at retail stage

Sampling of foodstuffs at the retail stage should be done where possible in accordance with the above sampling provisions. Where this is not possible, other effective sampling procedures at retail stage can be used, provided that they ensure sufficient representativeness for the sampled lot.

### 5. Acceptance of a lot or sublot

 Acceptance if the aggregate sample conforms to the maximum limit, taking into account the measurement uncertainty and correction for recovery,

# ▼<u>M1</u>

 Rejection if the aggregate sample exceeds the maximum limit beyond reasonable doubt, taking into account the measurement uncertainty and correction for recovery.

### ANNEX II

### SAMPLE PREPARATION AND CRITERIA FOR METHODS OF ANALYSIS USED IN OFFICIAL CHECKING OF THE LEVELS OF OCHRATOXIN A IN CERTAIN FOODSTUFFS

#### 1. Precautions

As the distribution of ochratoxin A is non-homogeneous, samples should be prepared — and especially homogenised — with extreme care.

All the material received by the laboratory is to be used for the preparation of test material.

# ▼<u>M1</u>

### 2. Treatment of the sample as received in the laboratory

Finely grind and thoroughly mix each laboratory sample using a process that has been demonstrated to achieve complete homogenisation.

In case the maximum level applies to the dry matter, the dry matter content shall be determined on a part of the homogenised sample, using a procedure that has been demonstrated to determine accurately the dry matter content.

# **▼**<u>B</u>

### 3. Subdivision of samples for enforcement and defence purposes

The replicate samples for enforcement, trade (defence) and referee purposes shall be taken from the homogenised material unless this conflicts with Member States' rules on sampling.

# 4. Method of analysis to be used by the laboratory and laboratory control requirements

### 4.1. Definitions

A number of the most commonly used definitions that the laboratory will be required to use are given below:

The most commonly quoted precision parameters are repeatability and reproducibility.

- r= Repeatability, the value below which the absolute difference between two single test results obtained under repeatability conditions (i.e. same sample, same operator, same apparatus, same laboratory, and short interval of time) may be expected to lie within a specific probability (typically 95 %) and hence  $r = 2.8 \times s_r$
- $s_r = 1$  Standard deviation, calculated from results generated under repeatability conditions
- $RSD_r =$  Relative standard deviation, calculated from results generated under repeatability conditions [( $s_r/x^-$ ) × 100] where  $x^-$  is the average of results over all laboratories and samples
- $R = Reproducibility, the value below which the absolute difference between single test results obtained under reproducibility conditions (i.e. on identical material obtained by operators in different laboratories, using the standardised test method) may be expected to lie within a certain probability (typically 95 %); <math display="block">R = 2.8 \times s_{p}$
- $s_{R} = Standard$  deviation, calculated from results under reproducibility conditions
- $RSD_{R} =$  Relative standard deviation calculated from results generated under reproducibility conditions  $[(s_{R}/x^{-}) \times 100]$ .

### 4.2. General requirements

Methods of analysis used for food control purposes must comply with the provisions of items 1 and 2 of the Annex to Directive 85/591/EEC concerning the introduction of Community methods of sampling and analysis for the monitoring of foodstuffs intended for human consumption.

## 4.3. Specific requirements

Where no specific methods for the determination of ochratoxin A levels in foodstuffs are prescribed at Community level, laboratories may select any method provided the selected method meets the following criteria:

Laval	Ochratoxin A					
Level µg/kg	RSD <sub>r</sub> (%)	RSD <sub>R</sub> (%)	Recovery (%)			
< 1	≤ 40	≤ 60	50 to 120			
1-10	≤ 20	≤ 30	70 to 110			

Performance characteristics for ochratoxin A

 The detection limits of the methods used are not stated as the precision values are given at the concentrations of interest.

- The precision values are calculated from the Horwitz equation:

 $RSD_{R} = 2(^{1-0,5logC})$ 

where:

- $\text{RSD}_{R}$  is the relative standard deviation calculated from results generated under reproducibility conditions  $[(s_{R}/x^{-}) \times 100]$ ,
- C is the concentration ratio (i.e. 1 = 100 g/100 g, 0,001 = 1,000 mg/kg).

This is a generalised precision equation, which has been found to be independent of analyte and matrix but solely dependent on concentration for most routine methods of analysis.

# ▼<u>M1</u>

4.4. Recovery calculation and reporting of results

The analytical result is to be reported corrected or uncorrected for recovery. The manner of reporting and the level of recovery must be reported. The analytical result corrected for recovery shall be used for checking compliance (see Annex I, point 5)

The analytical result has to be reported as x + U, whereby x is the analytical result and U is the expanded measurement uncertainty.

U is the expanded uncertainty, using a coverage factor of 2 which gives a level of confidence of approximately 95 %.

# ▼<u>B</u>

## 4.5. Laboratory quality standards

Laboratories must comply with Directive 93/99/EEC on the subject of additional measures concerning the official control of foodstuffs.

# ▼B