This document is meant purely as a documentation tool and the institutions do not assume any liability for its contents

COMMISSION DECISION

of 29 April 2004

on the approval of residue monitoring plans submitted by third countries in accordance with Council Directive 96/23/EC

(notified under document number C(2004) 1624)

(Text with EEA relevance)

(2004/432/EC)

(OJ L 189, 27.5.2004, p. 33)

Amended by:

<u>B</u>

		O	fficial Journ	nal
		No	page	date
<u>M1</u>	Commission Decision 2004/685/EC of 27 September 2004	L 312	19	9.10.2004

COMMISSION DECISION

of 29 April 2004

on the approval of residue monitoring plans submitted by third countries in accordance with Council Directive 96/23/EC

(notified under document number C(2004) 1624)

(Text with EEA relevance)

(2004/432/EC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 96/23/EC of 29 April 1996 on measures to monitor certain substances and residues thereof in live animals and animal products and repealing Directives 85/358/EEC and 86/469/EEC and Decisions 89/187/EEC and 91/664/EEC (¹), and in particular the fourth subparagraph of Article 29(1) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Under Directive 96/23/EC inclusion and retention on the lists of third countries, provided for in Community legislation, from which Member States are authorised to import animals and primary products of animal origin (the products) covered by that Directive are subject to submission by the third countries concerned of a plan setting out the guarantees which they offer as regards the monitoring of the groups of residues and substances referred to in that Directive. That Directive also lays down certain requirements concerning time limits for submission of plans.
- (2) Commission Decision 2000/159/EC of 8 February 2000 on the provisional approval of residue plans of third countries according to Council Directive 96/23/EC (²) lists provisionnally the third countries which have submitted a residue monitoring plan, setting out the guarantees offered by them in compliance with the requirements of that Directive.
- (3) Due to the evaluations of those plans presented by the third countries listed provisionally in the Annex to Decision 2000/159/EC, the list of third countries complying with Directive 96/23/EC (the list) should no longer be considered as provisional.
- (4) Certain third countries have presented residue monitoring plans to the Commission for animals and products not listed in Decision 2000/159/EC. The evaluation of those plans and the additional information requested by the Commission provide sufficient guarantees on the residue monitoring in those countries for the concerned animals and products. Those animals and products should therefore be included in the list for those third countries.
- (5) Certain third countries have not presented residue monitoring plans or have presented insufficient guarantees in the area of residue monitoring for animals and products originally listed in Decision 2000/159/EC. Those animals and products should therefore no longer be included in the list of those third countries.
- (6) In the interests of clarity of Community legislation Decision 2000/159/EC should be repealed and replaced by this Decision.
- (7) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

⁽¹) OJ L 125, 23.5.1996, p. 10. Directive as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 806/2003 (OJ L 122, 16.5.2003, p. 1).

⁽²⁾ OJ L 51, 24.2.2000, p. 30. Decision as last amended by Decision 2003/702/ EC (OJ L 254, 8.10.2003, p. 29).

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The residue monitoring plans submitted by the third countries listed in the Annex to this Decision are approved for the animals and primary animal products marked with an 'X' in the table set out in that Annex.

Article 2

Decision 2000/159/EC is repealed.

Article 3

This Decision shall apply from 1 May 2004.

Article 4

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

7
F
Н
2
5
$\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$
\mathcal{L}

	1	1	1		1	1	1			1			1	1	1	1				
Honey						×	×		×		×			×	×	X (³)	×	×		
Farmed						×	×		×		×	×			×					
Wild game						×	×		×						×		×			
Rabbit						×	×											×		
Eggs						×	×		×						×	×				
Milk					X (3)	×	×		×		×				×	×			×	
Aqua- culture		×		×		×	×	×	×		×			×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Poultry						×	×		×		×				×	×	×	×		
Equine	×					×	×		X (4)		×		X (4)		×	×	X (²)			
Swine						X (²)	×		×		×				×	×	×	X (²)		X (²)
Ovine/ caprine	×		X (²)	×		×	×	X (²)	×	X (²)	X (²)				×	×	X (⁵)	X (²)		X (²)
Bovine	×					×	×		×		×	×			×	×	×			X (²)
Country	Andorra (¹)	United Arab Emirates	Afghanistan	Albania	Netherlands Antilles	Argentina	Australia	Bangladesh	Bulgaria	Bahrain	Brazil	Botswana	Belarus	Belize	Canada	Switzerland	Chile	China	Colombia	Costa Rica
Code ISO2	AD	AE	AF	AL	AN	AR	AU	BD	BG	BH	BR	BW	BY	BZ	CA	СН	CL	CN	CO	CR

Honey	×	×							×			×		×	×			×		×	
Farmed								×				×		×			X (³)				
Wild game								×				×									
Rabbit												X									
Eggs												×		×	×						
Milk												×		×	×		×				
Aqua- culture		×	X		×		×		×	X (²)	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	X		X
Poultry										X (³)		×		×							
Equine	X (4)							X (4)				X (4)					×				
Swine	×											×					×				
Ovine/ caprine	×			X (²)		×		×			X (²)	×			X (²)	X (²)	×		$X(^2)$		
Bovine	×											×			$X(^2)$		×				
Country	Serbia and Monte- negro (°)	Cuba	Ecuador	Egypt	Eritrea	Falkland Islands	Faeroe Islands	Greenland	Guatemala	Hong Kong	Honduras	Croatia	Indonesia	Israel	India	Iran	Iceland	Jamaica	Japan	Kenya	South Korea
Code ISO2	CS	CU	EC	EG	ER	FK	FO	CL	GT	HK	HN	HR	П	П	Z	IR	SI	JM	JP	KE	KR

Honey					×				×					×	X	X					
Farmed game												X	X		X	X					
Wild game												×	×		X	X					
Rabbit									×												
Eggs									×						X						
Milk							×		×						X	X					
Aqua- culture			×	×		×			×	×	×	×	×	×	X	X	×	X	X	×	
Poultry									×	X (8)					X				X		
Equine				X (4)			X (4)		×							X					
Swine															X						
Ovine/ caprine	$X(^2)$	X (²)		X (²)			×	X (²)	X (²)			×		X (²)	X	X	X (²)	$X(^2)$	$X(^2)$		$X(^2)$
Bovine							×		×			×	×	X (²)	X	X	X (²)	X			$X(^2)$
Country	Kuwait	Lebanon	Sri Lanka	Morocco	Moldova	Madagascar	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (7)	Mongolia	Mexico	Malaysia	Mozambique	Namibia	New Caledonia	Nicaragua	Norway (°)	New Zealand	Oman	Panama	Peru	Philippines	Pakistan
Code ISO2	KW	LB	LK	MA	MD	MG	MK	MN	MX	MY	MZ	NA AN	NC	Ξ	ON	NZ	OM	PA	PE	PH	PK

Honey	×	×	×			×		×			×			×	×	×	×	×	X		
Farmed		×	X (10)										×					×	X		
Wild game		×											×					×	X		
Rabbit		×																×	X		
Eggs		×	X															X			
Milk		×	×		X (³)													×	X		
Aqua- culture		×		×	X (³)		×				×		×	×	×			×	X		×
Poultry		×	×		X (³)						×		×					×			
Equine		×	X (4)										X (4)				X (4)	×	X		
Swine		×	×		X (³)	×												×			
Ovine/ caprine	X (²)	×	×						X (²)			X (²)	X (²)	X (²)				×	X	X (²)	
Bovine	X	X	X		X (³)	X				X								X	X		
Country	Paraguay	Romania	Russia	Seychelles	Singapore	San Marino (11)	Suriname	El Salvador	Syria	Swaziland	Thailand	Turkmenistan	Tunisia	Turkey	Taiwan	Tanzania	Ukraine	United States	Uruguay	Uzbekistan	Venezuela
Code ISO2	PY	RO	RU	SC	SG	SM	SR	AS	SY	ZS	TH	TIM	ZI.	TR	TW	ZZ	UA	NS	UY	ZN	VE

Г					
Honey	×		X	X	
Farmed			X		X
Wild game			X		
Rabbit					
Eggs					
Milk			X		
Aqua- culture	×	X			X
Poultry			X		
Equine					
Swine			X		
Ovine/ caprine			X		
Bovine			X		X
Country	Vietnam	Mayotte	South Africa	Zambia	Zimbabwe
Code ISO2	NA	YT	ZA	ZM	MZ

Initial residue monitoring plan approved by veterinary sub-group EC/Andorra (in accordance with Decision No 2/1999 of EC/Andorra Joint Committee of 22 December 1999 (OJ L 31, 5.2.2000, p. 84)).

Only casings.

Third countries using only raw material from other approved third countries for food production. Exports of live horses for slaughter (food producing animals only).

Not including Kosovo as defined by the United Nations Security Council Resolution 1244 of 10 June 1999. Appropriate denomination still under discussion at UN.

Peninsular (western) Malaysia only.

Monitoring plan approved in accordance with Decision of EFTA Surveillance Authority No 223/96/COL of 4 December 1996 (OI L 78, 20.3.1997, p. 38).

Only for reindeer from the Murmansk region.

Monitoring plan approved in accordance with Decision No 1/94 of the EC-San Marino Cooperation Committee of 28 June 1994 (OJ L 238, 13.9.1994, p. 25).